

# Can $n^d + 1$ unit right $d$ -simplices cover a right $d$ -simplex with shortest side $n + \epsilon$ ?

Michael J. Todd \*

July 2, 2023

## Abstract

In a famous short paper, Conway and Soifer show that  $n^2 + 2$  equilateral triangles with edge length 1 can cover one with side  $n + \epsilon$ . We provide a generalization to  $d$  dimensions.

## 1 Introduction

We denote by  $e^1, \dots, e^d$  the unit coordinate vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and by  $e := \sum_j e^j$  the vector of ones. A unit right  $d$ -simplex is defined to be the convex hull of  $0, e^1, e^1 + e^2, \dots, e^1 + e^2 + \dots + e^d$ , or any of its images under coordinate permutations and translations. A right  $d$ -simplex is a dilation of a unit right  $d$ -simplex; if the dilation is by a factor  $\alpha > 0$ , its shortest side has length  $\alpha$ .

We are not able to answer the question in the title, but we first show that, if  $\epsilon \leq \delta := (n + 2)^{-1}$ , then  $(n + 1)^d + (n - 1)^d - n^d$  suffice. (This fails for the trivial case  $d = 1$ ; we assume implicitly throughout that  $d > 1$ .) Notice that, under the transformation  $x \mapsto Mx$ , where

$$M := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1/2 \\ 0 & \sqrt{3}/2 \end{bmatrix},$$

right 2-simplices are transformed into equilateral triangles, so that our result implies that of Conway and Soifer [1]. However, while this theorem matches the known result for  $d = 2$ , we observe that for larger dimensions the excess of  $(n + 1)^d + (n - 1)^d - n^d$  over  $n^d$  is increasing with  $n$ . Our second result is that, when  $n > d$ ,  $n^d + (d + 1)^d - 2d^d + (d - 1)^d$  suffice, though with a much smaller  $\epsilon$ . Both of these arguments generalize those of Conway and Soifer, although inevitably they are longer. (Note that, in its original form [2], the text of the paper contains just two words: “ $n^2 + 2$  can,” although with the two figures and the usual rate of exchange, there are a total of 2002 words, exceeding the length of [3].)

We need a convenient notation for right  $d$ -simplices. For  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\pi$  a permutation of  $\{1, \dots, d\}$ , we use  $k(v, \pi)$  to denote the convex hull of  $v, v + e^{\pi(1)}, v + e^{\pi(1)} + e^{\pi(2)}, \dots, v + e$ . It is easy to see that

$$k(v, \pi) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : 1 \geq (x - v)_{\pi(1)} \geq (x - v)_{\pi(2)} \geq \dots \geq (x - v)_{\pi(d)} \geq 0\}.$$

---

\*School of Operations Research and Information Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA.  
E-mail [mjt7@cornell.edu](mailto:mjt7@cornell.edu).

It is well known that the set of all  $k(0, \pi)$ 's, as  $\pi$  ranges over all permutations, triangulates the unit cube, while the set of all  $k(v, \pi)$ 's, with  $v$  an integer vector and  $\pi$  a permutation, triangulates  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . See, for example, [5]. These simplices are exactly the  $d$ -dimensional pieces when  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is partitioned by all hyperplanes of the form  $x_j = z$  or  $x_i - x_j = z$ , with  $z$  an integer. More relevant to our purposes, the set of all  $k(v, \pi)$ 's, with  $v$  an integer vector and  $\pi$  a permutation, that lie in the right  $d$ -simplex

$$S^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : n \geq x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \cdots \geq x_d \geq 0\},$$

covers (indeed, triangulates) that set. In fact,  $k(v, \pi)$  lies in this set iff  $v \in S^{n-1}$  and, if  $v_j = v_{j+1}$ ,  $j$  precedes  $j+1$  in the permutation  $\pi$ . By volume considerations, there are  $n^d$  such unit right  $d$ -simplices.

We can also easily see that the “base” of  $S^n$ , where  $x_d$  lies between 0 and 1, can also be triangulated, by  $n^d - (n-1)^d$  of these simplices, those with  $v_d = 0$ . In general, we define the base

$$B_\alpha^\beta := \{x \in S^\beta : x_d \leq \alpha\}$$

of the right  $d$ -simplex

$$S^\beta := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \beta \geq x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \cdots \geq x_d \geq 0\},$$

and similarly the “top”

$$T_\alpha^\beta := \{x \in S^\beta : x_d \geq \alpha\}.$$

We say  $B_\alpha^\beta$  has height  $\alpha$ . The base discussed above is  $B_1^n$ , while in the sequel we consider bases  $B_\alpha^{n+\delta}$  with height  $\alpha$  either slightly more than or slightly less than 1.

## 2 The Conway Construction

The first (graphical) proof in [1, 2] — due to Conway according to Chapter 9 of [4] — shows how to cover a 2-dimensional base slightly taller than 1 with  $2n+1$  triangles. We generalize this construction to establish

**Theorem 1** *For  $\delta := (n+2)^{-1}$ , the right  $d$ -simplex*

$$S^{n+\delta} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : n + \delta \geq x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \cdots \geq x_d \geq 0\},$$

*with shortest side  $n + \delta$ , can be covered by*

$$(n+1)^d + (n-1)^d - n^d$$

*unit right  $d$ -simplices.*

**Proof:** We divide  $S^{n+\delta}$  into its base

$$B_{1+\delta}^{n+\delta} := \{x \in S^{n+\delta} : x_d \leq 1 + \delta\}$$

and its top

$$T_{1+\delta}^{n+\delta} := \{x \in S^{n+\delta} : x_d \geq 1 + \delta\}.$$

Note that the top can be written as

$$T_{1+\delta}^{n+\delta} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : n + \delta \geq x_1 \geq \cdots \geq x_d \geq 1 + \delta\},$$

which is just the translation by  $(1+\delta)e$  of  $S^{n-1}$ , and can therefore be triangulated by  $(n-1)^d$  unit right  $d$ -simplices as above. The proof is completed by applying the lemma below, which we separate to contrast it to the second construction.  $\square$

**Lemma 1** For  $\delta := (n + 2)^{-1}$ , the base  $T_{1+\delta}^{n+\delta}$  can be covered by  $(n + 1)^d - n^d$  unit right  $d$ -simplices.

**Proof:** Note that the base is somewhat similar to the base

$$B_1^{n+1} := \{x \in S^{n+1} : x_d \leq 1\},$$

which as we noted above, can be triangulated by exactly this many unit right  $d$ -simplices. Indeed, the base we are interested in has its first  $d - 1$  components squeezed in (from  $n + 1$  to  $n + \delta$ ) and its last component stretched out (from 1 to  $1 + \delta$ ). We therefore apply an operation to the individual simplices in this triangulation, roughly as the individual cloves are transformed by squeezing the head of a roasted garlic.

As we observed above, the simplices of the triangulation of  $B_1^{n+1}$  are those  $k(v, \pi)$  where

$$v \in S^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^d; \quad v_d = 0; \quad \text{if } v_j = v_{j+1}, \text{ } j \text{ precedes } j + 1 \text{ in } \pi. \quad (1)$$

We squeeze these simplices as follows:

$$(a) \text{ If } \pi^{-1}(d) = d, \tilde{k}(v, \pi) := k((1 - \delta)v, \pi);$$

$$(b) \text{ if } \pi^{-1}(d) < d, \tilde{k}(v, \pi) := k((1 - \delta)v + \delta e, \pi).$$

We need to show that every  $x \in B_{1+\delta}^{n+\delta}$  is covered by at least one such  $\tilde{k}(v, \pi)$ , where  $(v, \pi)$  satisfies (1).

For any such  $x$ , we can choose  $v \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ ,  $v_d = 0$ , so that all components of

$$w := x - (1 - \delta)v,$$

except possibly the last, lie between 0 and 1. We then order these components using the permutation  $\pi$ . Suppose first we can choose  $\pi$  so that  $d$  comes last:

$$1 \geq w_{\pi(1)} \geq \cdots \geq w_{\pi(d)} \geq 0, \quad \pi^{-1}(d) = d. \quad (2)$$

Note that there is some choice involved for  $j < d$ ; if  $v_j > 0$  and  $0 \leq w_j \leq \delta$ , we can decrease  $v_j$  by 1 so that  $1 - \delta \leq w_j \leq 1$  and then adjust  $\pi$  accordingly. Then we have

$$\text{if } v_j > 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq j < d, w_j > \delta. \quad (3)$$

Moreover, if there is a set of components of  $w$  that are equal, we may modify  $\pi$  so that their indices appear in ascending order:

$$\text{if } w_{\pi(j)} = w_{\pi(j+1)} \text{ for } 1 \leq j < d, \pi(j) < \pi(j + 1). \quad (4)$$

We show that, if  $v$  and  $\pi$  can be chosen so that (2)–(4) hold, then  $x$  lies in the simplex  $\tilde{k}(v, \pi)$  of type (a). By the first of these conditions, it is only necessary to check (1).

First, we have  $w_1 \geq 0$ , so that

$$v_1 \leq (1 - \delta)^{-1}x_1 \leq (1 - \delta)^{-1}(n + \delta) = n + 1.$$

Moreover, if  $v_1 = n + 1$ , we have equality throughout, so that  $v_1 > 0$  and  $w_1 = 0$ , contradicting (3). Hence  $v_1 \leq n$ .

Next, consider the condition  $v_j \geq v_{j+1}$ . If  $v_{j+1} = 0$ , then this holds by default. If not, then  $j + 1 < d$  and by (3),  $w_{j+1} > \delta$ , so that  $w_j < w_{j+1} + 1 - \delta$  and thus

$$v_j > v_{j+1} - 1 + (1 - \delta)^{-1}(x_j - x_{j+1}) \geq v_{j+1} - 1,$$

and we obtain  $v_j \geq v_{j+1}$ .

Finally, if  $v_j = v_{j+1}$ , then since  $x_j \geq x_{j+1}$  we have  $w_j \geq w_{j+1}$ . Thus  $j$  precedes  $j + 1$  in  $\pi$ , either by (2) if these components are unequal, or by (4) if they are equal. This completes the verification of (1), and so  $x$  is covered.

Note that, if  $x_d \leq \delta$ , then we can find  $v$  and  $\pi$  so that (2) holds. Indeed, we order the components of  $w$  as above, and ensure that if  $v_j > 0$  and  $j < d$ , then  $w_j > \delta$  and  $j$  precedes  $d$  in  $\pi$ . But if  $v_j = 0$  for  $j < d$ , then  $x_j \geq x_d$  ensures that  $w_j \geq w_d$ , and thus we can arrange that  $d$  comes last in  $\pi$ . Thus the bottom sliver of the base is covered by simplices of type (a).

Now we assume that  $x$  cannot be covered by such a simplex. Then  $x_d > \delta$ , and hence  $x_j > \delta$  for all  $j$ . We can then find  $v \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$  with  $v_d = 0$  and a permutation  $\pi$  so that, with  $w$  again defined as  $x - (1 - \delta)v$ , we have

$$1 + \delta \geq w_{\pi(1)} \geq \cdots \geq w_{\pi(d)} \geq \delta. \quad (5)$$

Moreover, as above, if  $1 \leq w_j \leq 1 + \delta$  for  $j < d$ , we can increase  $v_j$  by 1 so that  $\delta \leq w_j \leq 2\delta$  and then adjust  $\pi$  accordingly, so that

$$\text{for } j < d, w_j < 1. \quad (6)$$

We can also ensure that equal components of  $w$  are suitably ordered, so that (4) holds.

If  $w_d > 1$ , then because of (6),  $\pi^{-1}(d) = 1$ . If instead  $w_d \leq 1$ , then (11) and (6) show that (2) holds, so that if  $\pi^{-1}(d) = d$ ,  $x$  could be covered by a simplex of type (a). Thus in either case,  $\pi^{-1}(d) < d$ , so that, if  $w' := x - (1 - \delta)v - \delta e$ ,

$$1 \geq w'_{\pi(1)} \geq \cdots \geq w'_{\pi(d)} \geq 0, \quad \pi^{-1}(d) < d,$$

and  $x$  will be covered by a simplex of type (b) if we can verify (1).

Suppose (4)–(6) hold. Then  $w_1 \geq \delta$ , so

$$v_1 \leq (1 - \delta)^{-1}x_1 - (1 - \delta)^{-1}\delta < (1 - \delta)^{-1}(n + \delta) = n + 1,$$

and we have  $v_1 \leq n$ .

Next, consider the condition  $v_j \geq v_{j+1}$ . If  $v_{j+1} = 0$ , then this holds by default. If not, then  $j + 1 < d$  and by (11) and (6),  $w_{j+1} \geq \delta$  and  $w_j < 1$ , so that  $w_j < w_{j+1} + 1 - \delta$  and thus

$$v_j > v_{j+1} - 1 + (1 - \delta)^{-1}(x_j - x_{j+1}) \geq v_{j+1} - 1,$$

and we obtain  $v_j \geq v_{j+1}$ . The proof that if  $v_j = v_{j+1}$  then  $j$  precedes  $j + 1$  in the permutation  $\pi$  is identical to that above.

Thus  $x$  is covered either by a simplex of type (a) or one of type (b), and the theorem is proved.  $\square$

### 3 The Soifer Construction

The second (graphical) proof in [1, 2], due to Soifer according to Chapter 9 of [4], demonstrates how to cover a 2-dimensional base slightly shorter than 1 with  $2n - 1$  triangles. We generalize this construction to prove

**Theorem 2** For  $n \geq d$  and  $\delta \leq (d + 2)^{-1}d^{-(n-d)}$ ,  $S^{n+\delta}$  can be covered by

$$n^d + (d + 1)^d - 2d^d + (d - 1)^d$$

unit right  $d$ -simplices.

**Proof:** We proceed by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = d$ , the result follows from Theorem 1. Now suppose  $n > d$ , and that the theorem holds for  $n - 1$ . Let

$$\gamma := \frac{1}{n - d}\delta \leq \delta,$$

and divide  $S^{n+\delta}$  into its base  $B_{1-(d-1)\gamma}^{n+\delta}$  and its top  $T_{1-(d-1)\gamma}^{n+\delta}$ . Note that

$$T_{1-(d-1)\gamma}^{n+\delta} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : n + \delta \geq x_1 \geq \cdots \geq x_d \geq 1 - (d - 1)\gamma\}$$

is a translation of  $S^{n-1+\delta+(d-1)\gamma}$ , and since

$$\delta + (d - 1)\gamma \leq d\delta \leq (d + 2)^{-1}d^{-(n-d-1)},$$

it can be covered by  $(n - 1)^d + (d + 1)^d - 2d^d + (d - 1)^d$  unit right simplices by the inductive hypothesis. Thus the result will follow from the lemma below.  $\square$

**Lemma 2**  $B_{1-(d-1)\gamma}^{n+\delta}$  can be covered by  $n^d - (n - 1)^d$  unit right  $d$ -simplices.

**Proof:** In the proof of Lemma 1, we took the “bottom” simplices of the triangulation of  $B_1^{n+1}$  and squeezed them together, pushing up the remaining simplices to cover a base slightly higher than 1. Now we take the bottom simplices of the triangulation of  $B_1^n$  and spread them out, letting the remaining simplices rattle down filling the gaps to cover a base slightly shorter than 1.

With  $\gamma$  as above, note that

$$(n - 1)(1 + \gamma) + 1 = n + \delta + (d - 1)\gamma. \quad (7)$$

The simplices of the triangulation of  $B_1^n$  are those  $k(v, \pi)$  where

$$v \in S^{n-1} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d; \quad v_d = 0; \quad \text{if } v_j = v_{j+1}, \text{ } j \text{ precedes } j + 1 \text{ in } \pi. \quad (8)$$

We spread out and rattle down these simplices as follows:

$$(c) \text{ If } \pi^{-1}(d) = d, \hat{k}(v, \pi) := k((1 + \gamma)v, \pi);$$

$$(d) \text{ if } \pi^{-1}(d) = j < d, \hat{k}(v, \pi) := k((1 + \gamma)v - (d - j)\gamma e, \pi).$$

We need to show that every  $x \in B_{1-(d-1)\gamma}^{n+\delta}$  is covered by at least one such  $\hat{k}(v, \pi)$ , where  $(v, \pi)$  satisfies (8). Given such an  $x$ , we can choose  $v \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$  with  $v_d = 0$  and  $v \leq (n - 1)e$  so that all the components of

$$w := x - (1 + \gamma)v$$

lie between  $-\gamma$  and 1. We then order these components using the permutation  $\pi$  so that

$$1 \geq w_{\pi(1)} \geq \cdots \geq w_{\pi(d)} > -\gamma. \quad (9)$$

Since  $x \leq (n + \delta)e$ , equation (7) implies that

$$\text{if } v_i = n - 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i < d, w_i \leq 1 - (d - 1)\gamma. \quad (10)$$

Also, since  $x \in B_{1-(d-1)\gamma}^{n+\delta}$ ,  $w_d \leq 1 - (d - 1)\gamma$ . Finally, if there is a set of components of  $w$  that are equal, we may modify  $\pi$  so that their indices appear in ascending order:

$$\text{if } w_{\pi(i)} = w_{\pi(i+1)} \text{ for } 1 \leq i < d, \pi(i) < \pi(i + 1). \quad (11)$$

Let us first assume that  $\pi^{-1}(d) = d$ , Then  $w_d \geq 0$ , so that

$$1 \geq w_{\pi(1)} \geq \cdots \geq w_{\pi(d)} \geq 0,$$

and  $x$  lies in  $k((1 + \gamma)v, \pi)$ , and it remains to show (8). We already know that  $0 \leq v \leq (n - 1)e$  and  $v_d = 0$ . Since  $x_i \geq x_{i+1}$ ,

$$v_i \geq v_{i+1} + \frac{w_{i+1} - w_i}{1 + \gamma} \geq v_{i+1} - \frac{1}{1 + \gamma} > v_{j+1} - 1$$

and so  $v_i \geq v_{i+1}$ , and if these are equal, then  $w_i \geq w_{i+1}$  and then (9) and (11) imply that  $i$  precedes  $i + 1$  in  $\pi$ . Thus  $x$  lies in a simplex of type (c) above.

Next suppose  $k := \pi^{-1}(d) < d$ . Let  $i$  be the lowest index such that

$$w_{\pi(i)} \leq 1 - (d - k + i - 1)\gamma;$$

note that the index  $k$  satisfies this inequality so that  $i \leq k$ . Also,

$$\text{if } i > 1, \quad \text{for } h < i, \quad w_{\pi(h)} \geq 1 - (d - k + i - 2)\gamma, \text{ and hence } v_{\pi(h)} < n - 1. \quad (12)$$

using (10). We now increase  $v_{\pi(h)}$  by 1 for each  $h < i$ , to get  $v'$ . From the above, we still have  $v' \leq (n - 1)e$ . Let  $w' := x - (1 + \gamma)v'$ . For  $j \geq i$ ,  $w'_{\pi(j)} = w_{\pi(j)}$ , while for  $h < i$ ,  $w'_{\pi(h)} = w_{\pi(h)} - 1 - \gamma$ , and so using (9) and (12), we find

$$\text{for } h < i, -\gamma \geq w'_{\pi(h)} \geq -(d - k + i - 1)\gamma.$$

Thus if we order the components of  $w'$ , with strings of equal components in ascending order, we find the permutation  $\rho$  with  $\rho = (\pi(i), \dots, \pi(d), \pi(1), \dots, \pi(i-1))$  with  $\rho^{-1}(d) = k - i + 1 =: j'$ . We also have

$$1 - (d - j')\gamma \geq w'_{\rho(1)} \geq \cdots \geq w'_{\rho(d)} \geq -(d - j')\gamma,$$

and so  $x$  lies in  $\hat{k}(v', \rho)$ , and it remains to show that  $v'$  and  $\rho$  satisfy (8). But this follows exactly the argument used above for the case  $\pi^{-1}(d) = d$ , and so  $x$  lies in a simplex of type (d) and the proof is complete.  $\square$

## 4 Discussion

Our results are not tight. Indeed, for  $d > 2$  and  $n = 1$ , we have shown that  $S^{1+\delta}$  can be covered by  $2^d - 1$  right  $d$ -simplices, while  $d + 1$  suffice by using a construction also similar to Soifer's construction in [1, 2]:

**Proposition 1** *For  $\delta := d^{-1}$ ,  $S^{1+\delta}$  can be covered by  $d + 1$  right  $d$ -simplices.*

**Proof:** Let  $v^0 := 0$ ,  $v^j = v^{j-1} + \delta e^j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, d$ . Let  $\iota$  denote the identity permutation  $(1, 2, \dots, d)$ . We show that the  $d + 1$  right  $d$ -simplices  $k(v^j, \iota)$  for  $j = 0, \dots, d$  cover  $S^{1+\delta}$ .

Consider any  $x \in S^{1+\delta}$ . Then

$$1 + \delta =: x_0 \geq x_1 \geq \dots \geq x_d \geq x_{d+1} := 0.$$

There are then  $d + 1$  nonnegative gaps  $x_i - x_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, d$ , summing to  $1 + \delta$ , and so since  $(d + 1)\delta = 1 + \delta$ , one of these, say that indexed by  $i = j$ , must be at least  $\delta$ . But then

$$1 \geq x_1 - \delta \geq \dots \geq x_j - \delta \geq x_{j+1} \geq \dots \geq x_d \geq 0$$

(with obvious modifications if  $j = 0$  or  $j = d$ ), so that  $x \in k(v^j, \iota)$ .  $\square$

If Lemma 2 could be extended to all  $n$ , then Proposition 1 would provide the base case to prove that  $S^{n+\delta}$  could be covered by  $n^d + d$  unit right  $d$ -simplices. However, the rather delicate arguments in Lemma 2 seem to require that the “bottom” simplices be spread out to not only cover components up to  $n + \delta$ , but further up to  $n + \delta + (d - 1)\gamma$  (see (7)), and this necessitates  $n > d$ .

It would be nice to complement our results with lower bounds on the number of unit right  $d$ -simplices required to cover  $S^{n+\delta}$  ( $\delta > 0$ ), but such results are rare even for  $d = 2$ . Indeed, volume considerations ensure that at least  $n^d + 1$  are necessary, while for  $d = 2$  and  $n = 1$  or  $n = 2$ , considering all points in  $S^{n+\delta}$  all of whose components are integer multiples of  $1 + \delta/n$ , no two of which can lie in a single unit 2-simplex, shows that  $n^2 + 2$  are necessary.

Both of these techniques are special cases of bounds from measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . In general, we can consider the moment problem

$$M := \sup\{\mu(S^{n+\delta}) : \mu \text{ is a measure on } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ with } \mu(\Sigma) \leq 1 \text{ for any right } d\text{-simplex } \Sigma\}.$$

Then  $M$ , rounded up to the next integer, provides a lower bound on the number of unit  $d$ -simplices to cover  $S^{1+\delta}$ . Perhaps numerical computations on discretizations of this problem can provide insights allowing the construction of measures yielding non-trivial lower bounds on the number of simplices required.

Finally, we note that for  $d = 2$ , right  $d$ -simplices are isosceles right triangles, and that Xu, Yuan, and Ding [6] consider a different problem of covering isosceles right triangles with isosceles right triangles of possibly different sizes and allowing for rotations as well as translations and coordinate permutations.

## References

- [1] J. H. CONWAY AND A. SOIFER, *Covering a triangle with triangles*, American Mathematical Monthly, 112 (2005), p. 78.

- [2] J. H. CONWAY AND A. SOIFER, *Can  $n^2 + 1$  unit equilateral triangles cover an equilateral triangle of side  $> n$ , say  $n + \epsilon$ ?*, <https://fermatslibrary.com/s/shortest-paper-ever-published-in-a-serious-math-journal-john-conway-alexander-soifer>
- [3] L. J. LANDER, T. R. PARKIN, *Counterexample to Euler's conjecture on sums of like powers*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 72(6) (1966), p. 1079.
- [4] A. SOIFER. *How does one cut a triangle*. Springer, New York, 2009.
- [5] M. J. TODD, *The Computation of Fixed Points and Applications*, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems 124, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1976.
- [6] C. XU, L. YUAN, AND R. DING, *Covering Isosceles Right Triangles with Isosceles Right Triangles*, Geombinatorics 14(4) (2005), pp. 194–200.