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## Plan of the talk

1. Definitions
2. Non-strong polynomiality of the value iteration algorithm for discounted MDPs
3. Reduction of transient MDPs to discounted ones
4. Reduction of average-cost MDPs to discounted ones

## Model definition

A discrete-time Markov decision process (MDP) is defined by:

1. $\mathbb{X}$ - state space
2. $\mathbb{A}$ - action space
3. $A(x)$ - sets of available actions
4. $c(x, a)$ - one-step costs
5. $q(y \mid x, a)$ - non-negative transition rates

In this talk,

1. $\mathbb{X}$ is countable
2. $\mathbb{A}$ is a Borel subset of a Polish space
3. $A(x)$ is a Borel subset of $\mathbb{A} \forall x \in \mathbb{X}$.
4. $c$ is bounded, and measurable in $a \in A(x) \forall x \in \mathbb{X}$
5. $q$ is measurable in $a \in A(x) \forall x, y \in \mathbb{X}$, and $\sup \left\{\sum_{y \in \mathbb{X}} q(y \mid x, a) \mid x \in \mathbb{X}, a \in A(x)\right\}<\infty$

## Policies

A policy is a mapping $\phi: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ where $\phi(x) \in A(x) \forall x \in \mathbb{X}$.

- $\mathbb{F}$ - set of all policies

Each $\phi \in \mathbb{F}$ has a corresponding transition matrix

$$
Q_{\phi}(x, y):=q(y \mid x, \phi(x)), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{X}
$$

and cost vector

$$
c_{\phi}(x):=c(x, \phi(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{X}
$$

## Cost measures

Discounted costs: For $\beta \in[0,1)$,

$$
v_{\beta}^{\phi}(x):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^{n} Q_{\phi}^{n} c_{\phi}(x)
$$

## Undiscounted total costs:

$$
v^{\phi}(x):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_{\phi}^{n} c_{\phi}(x)
$$

Average costs:

$$
w^{\phi}(x):=\limsup _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} Q_{\phi}^{n} c_{\phi}(x)
$$

## Optimality criteria

A policy $\phi_{*}$ is:
$\beta$-optimal if

$$
v_{\beta}^{\phi_{*}}(x)=\inf _{\phi \in \mathbb{F}} v_{\beta}^{\phi}(x)=: v_{\beta}(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{X} ;
$$

total-cost optimal if

$$
v^{\phi_{*}}(x)=\inf _{\phi \in \mathbb{F}} v^{\phi}(x)=: v(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{X} ;
$$

average-cost optimal if

$$
w^{\phi_{*}}(x)=\inf _{\phi \in \mathbb{F}} w^{\phi}(x)=: w(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{X}
$$

## Computing optimal policies

There are 3 main approaches:

1. Value iteration

- discounted: Shapley (1953)
- undiscounted total: Bellman (1957), Blackwell $(1961,1967)$, Strauch (1966)
- average: White (1963), Schweitzer \& Federgruen (1977, 1979)

2. Policy iteration

- discounted: Howard (1960)
- undiscounted total: Veinott (1969), van der Wal (1981)
- average: Howard (1960), Veinott (1966)


## 3. Linear programming

- discounted: D'Epenoux (1963)
- undiscounted total: Veinott (1969), Kallenberg (1983)
- average: de Ghellinck (1960) and Manne (1960); Denardo and Fox (1968), Hordijk and Kallenberg $(1979,1980)$


## Complexity of algorithms

Finite $\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbb{A}$
$m:=$ number of state-action pairs $(x, a), x \in \mathbb{X}, a \in A(x)$
Two classes of "efficient" algorithms:

- weakly polynomial: number of arithmetic operations needed is bounded above by a polynomial in $m \&$ the bit-size $L$ of the input data;
- strongly polynomial: number of arithmetic operations needed is bounded above by a polynomial in $m$ only.
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## Complexity of algorithms - discounted costs

Take $\beta$ to be a constant.
Weakly polynomial algorithms exist for all 3 approaches.

1. Value iteration: Tseng (1990)
2. Policy iteration: Meister \& Holzbaur (1986)
3. Linear programming: Khachiyan (1979), Karmarkar (1984)

Ye (2011): strongly polynomial algorithms exist for the latter two approaches.

Feinberg \& H. (2014): value iteration algorithm is not strongly polynomial

## Value iteration - discounted costs

For $\beta \in[0,1)$ and $f: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define the optimality operator

$$
T_{\beta} f(x):=\min _{A(x)}\left[c(x, a)+\beta \sum_{y \in \mathbb{X}} q(y \mid x, a) f(y)\right], \quad x \in \mathbb{X}
$$

Step 0: Pick $V_{0}: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and set $k=1$.
Step 1: Pick any $\phi^{k} \in \mathbb{F}$ satisfying $c_{\phi^{k}}+\beta Q_{\phi^{k}} V_{k-1}=T_{\beta} V_{k-1}$.
Step 2:

- If $V_{k-1}=T_{\beta} V_{k-1}$, then $\phi^{k}$ is $\beta$-optimal.
- Else, set $V_{k}=T_{\beta} V_{k-1}$, increase $k$ by 1 and go to Step 1.

If $\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbb{A}$ are finite, and the $q(y \mid x, a)$ 's are transition probabilities, then

$$
V_{k} \rightarrow v_{\beta} \text { and } \phi^{k} \text { is } \beta \text {-optimal for some } k<\infty
$$

## The example

Deterministic MDP with $m=4$ state-action pairs:


Arcs correspond to actions, and are labeled with their one-step costs.

Note: Suppose $V_{0} \equiv 0$. Then at state 1 , the solid arc is selected on iteration $k$ only if

$$
\delta \geq \beta V_{k-1}(3)
$$

Use $\delta$ to control the required number of iterations.

## The example



## Theorem

Let $\beta \in(0,1)$ and $V_{0} \equiv 0$. Then for any positive integer $N$, there is a $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that at least $N$ iterations are required to find the optimal policy.

Proof. Let $\delta$ satisfy

$$
-\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}<\delta<-\frac{\beta\left(1-\beta^{N-1}\right)}{1-\beta}
$$

Then at state 1 , the solid arc is the unique optimal action. Also, for $k=1, \ldots, N$

$$
\delta<-\frac{\beta\left(1-\beta^{N-1}\right)}{1-\beta} \leq-\frac{\beta\left(1-\beta^{k-1}\right)}{1-\beta}=\beta V_{k-1}(3)
$$

## Non-strong polynomiality

## Corollary

The value iteration algorithm is not strongly polynomial.

Proof. By the preceding theorem, the required number of iterations cannot be bounded by a polynomial in $m$ only.

Feinberg, H., and Scherrer (2014): the same example shows that many optimistic policy iteration algorithms are not strongly polynomial.

- Includes Puterman \& Shin's (1978) modified policy iteration and Bertsekas \& Tsitsiklis's (1996) $\lambda$-policy iteration.


## Plan of the talk

1. Definitions
2. Non-strong polynomiality of the value iteration algorithm for discounted MDPs
3. Reduction of transient MDPs to discounted ones
4. Reduction of average-cost MDPs to discounted ones

## Transient MDPs

For a nonnegative matrix $B$ with entries $B(x, y), x, y \in \mathbb{X}$, let

$$
\|B\|:=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{X}} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{X}} B(x, y)
$$

## Assumption T

The MDP is transient, i.e., there is a constant $K$ satisfying

$$
\left\|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_{\phi}^{n}\right\| \leq K<\infty \quad \forall \phi \in \mathbb{F}
$$

There's a strongly polynomial algorithm, due to Eric Denardo, for checking Assumption T - see Veinott (1969).

## A preliminary result

## Proposition

Suppose the MDP is transient. Then there is a $\mu: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ that is bounded above by $K$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(x) \geq 1+\sum_{y \in \mathbb{X}} q(y \mid x, a) \mu(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{X}, a \in A(x) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. When the MDP is transient, the operator

$$
\mathcal{U} f(x):=\sup _{A(x)}\left[1+\sum_{y \in \mathbb{X}} q(y \mid x, a) f(y)\right], \quad x \in \mathbb{X},
$$

has a nonnegative fixed point bounded above by $K$.

## The Hoffman-Veinott transformation

Extension of an idea attributed to Alan Hoffman by Veinott (1969):
State space: $\tilde{\mathbb{X}}:=\mathbb{X} \cup\{\tilde{x}\}$
Action space: $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}:=\mathbb{A} \cup\{\tilde{a}\}$
Available actions:

$$
\tilde{A}(x):= \begin{cases}A(x), & x \in \mathbb{X} \\ \{\tilde{a}\}, & x=\tilde{x}\end{cases}
$$

One-step costs:

$$
\tilde{c}(x, a):= \begin{cases}\mu(x)^{-1} c(x, a), & x \in \mathbb{X}, a \in A(x) \\ 0, & (x, a)=(\tilde{x}, \tilde{a})\end{cases}
$$

## The Hoffman-Veinott transformation (continued)

Choose a discount factor

$$
\tilde{\beta} \in\left[\frac{K-1}{K}, 1\right) .
$$

Transition probabilities:

$$
\tilde{p}(y \mid x, a):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\tilde{\beta} \mu(x)} q(y \mid x, a) \mu(y), & x, y \in \mathbb{X}, \\ 1-\frac{1}{\tilde{\beta} \mu(x)} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{X}} q(y \mid x, a) \mu(y), & y=\tilde{x}, x \in \mathbb{X}, \\ 1, & y=x=\tilde{x}\end{cases}
$$

## Representation of total costs

## Proposition

Suppose the MDP is transient, and the one-step costs are bounded. Then

$$
v^{\phi}(x)=\mu(x) \tilde{v}_{\tilde{\beta}}^{\phi}(x), \quad \phi \in \mathbb{F}, x \in \mathbb{X}
$$

Proof. Use the fact that $\tilde{x}$ is a cost-free absorbing state to rewrite $\tilde{v}_{\tilde{\beta}}^{\phi}$ in terms of the original problem data.

## Compactness conditions

Our main results use the following conditions:

## Compactness Conditions

(i) $A(x)$ is compact $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}$;
(ii) $c(x, a)$ is:

- bounded in $(x, a)$ where $x \in \mathbb{X}$ and $a \in A(x)$, and
- lower semicontinuous in $a \in A(x) \forall x \in \mathbb{X}$;
(iii) $q(y \mid x, a)$ is continuous in $a \in A(x) \forall x, y \in \mathbb{X}$;
(iv) $q(\mathbb{X} \mid x, a):=\sum_{y \in \mathbb{X}} q(y \mid x, a)$ is continuous in $a \in A(x)$ $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}$.

For a discounted MDP, the Compactness Conditions imply the existence of an optimal policy - see e.g., Feinberg Kasyanov \& Zadoianchuk (2012).

## Main result for transient MDPs

$$
A^{*}(x):=\left\{a \in A(x) \mid v(x)=c(x, a)+\sum_{y \in \mathbb{X}} q(y \mid x, a) v(y)\right\}, x \in \mathbb{X}
$$

## Theorem - cf. Pliska (1978)

Suppose the MDP is transient, and satisfies the Compactness Conditions. Then:
(i) the value function $v=\mu \tilde{v}_{\beta}$ is the unique bounded function satisfying

$$
v(x)=\min _{A(x)}\left[c(x, a)+\sum_{y \in \mathbb{X}} q(y \mid x, a) v(y)\right], \quad x \in \mathbb{X} ;
$$

(ii) there is a stationary total-cost optimal policy;
(iii) $\phi \in \mathbb{F}$ is total-cost optimal iff. $\phi(x) \in A^{*}(x) \forall x \in \mathbb{X}$, and for $x \in \mathbb{X}$

$$
A^{*}(x)=\left\{a \in A(x) \mid \tilde{v}_{\tilde{\beta}}(x)=\tilde{c}(x, a)+\tilde{\beta} \sum_{y \in \tilde{\mathbb{X}}} \tilde{p}(y \mid x, a) \tilde{v}_{\tilde{\beta}}(y)\right\} .
$$

## A strongly polynomial algorithm

To compute a total-cost optimal policy for a transient MDP, solve the LP

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { minimize } & \sum_{x \in \tilde{\mathbb{X}}} \sum_{a \in \tilde{A}(x)} \tilde{c}(x, a) z_{x, a} \\
\text { such that } & \sum_{a \in \tilde{A}(x)} z_{x, a}-\tilde{\beta} \sum_{y \in \tilde{\mathbb{X}}} \sum_{a \in \tilde{A}(y)} \tilde{p}(x \mid y, a) z_{y, a}=1 \quad \forall x \in \tilde{\mathbb{X}}, \\
& z_{x, a} \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \tilde{\mathbb{X}}, \quad a \in \tilde{A}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $\tilde{\beta}=(K-1) / K$ and $K>1$, Scherrer's (2013) results imply that this LP can be solved using

$$
O(m K \log K) \quad \text { iterations }
$$

of a block-pivoting simplex method corresponding to Howard's policy iteration.

- Ye (2011) and Denardo (2015) also provide complexity estimates for transient MDPs.
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## An assumption for average-cost MDPs

For $z \in \mathbb{X}$ and $\phi \in \mathbb{F}$, consider the matrix ${ }_{z} Q_{\phi}$ with entries

$$
{ }_{z} Q_{\phi}(x, y):= \begin{cases}q(y \mid x, \phi(x)), & \text { if } x \in \mathbb{X}, y \neq z \\ 0, & \text { if } x \in \mathbb{X}, y=z\end{cases}
$$

## Assumption HT

There is a state $\ell \in \mathbb{X}$ and a constant $K^{*}$ satisfying

$$
\left\|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \ell Q_{\phi}^{n}\right\| \leq K^{*}<\infty \quad \text { for all } \phi \in \mathbb{F} .
$$

Feinberg \& Yang (2008): there's a strongly polynomial algorithm for checking Assumption HT when the $q(y \mid x, a)$ 's are transition probabilities.

## The HV-AG transformation

- modification of Akian \& Gaubert's (2013) transformation for turn-based zero-sum stochastic games with finite state \& action sets
- can be viewed as an extension of the Hoffman-Veinott transformation
- Ross's (1968) transformation can be viewed as a special case

Note: If Assumption HT holds, then there's a $\mu: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ that's bounded above by $K^{*}$ and satisfies

$$
\mu(x) \geq 1+\sum_{y \in \mathbb{X} \backslash\{\ell\}} q(y \mid x, a) \mu(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{X}, a \in A(x) ;
$$

cf. (1).

## The HV-AG transformation

State space: $\overline{\mathbb{X}}:=\mathbb{X} \cup\{\bar{x}\}$
Action space: $\overline{\mathbb{A}}:=\mathbb{A} \cup\{\bar{a}\}$
Available actions:

$$
\bar{A}(x):= \begin{cases}A(x), & x \in \mathbb{X}, \\ \{\bar{a}\}, & x=\bar{x}\end{cases}
$$

One-step costs:

$$
\bar{c}(x, a):= \begin{cases}\mu(x)^{-1} c(x, a), & x \in \mathbb{X}, a \in A(x) \\ 0, & (x, a)=(\bar{x}, \bar{a})\end{cases}
$$

(So far, it's the same as the Hoffman-Veinott transformation.)

## The HV-AG transformation (continued)

Choose a discount factor

$$
\bar{\beta} \in\left[\frac{K^{*}-1}{K^{*}}, 1\right) .
$$

## Transition probabilities:

$\bar{p}(y \mid x, a):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\bar{\beta} \mu(x)} q(y \mid x, a) \mu(y), & y \in \mathbb{X} \backslash\{\ell\}, x \in \mathbb{X}, \\ \frac{1}{\bar{\beta} \mu(x)}\left[\mu(x)-1-\sum_{y \in \mathbb{X} \backslash\{\ell\}} q(y \mid x, a) \mu(y)\right], & y=\ell, x \in \mathbb{X}, \\ 1-\frac{1}{\bar{\beta} \mu(x)}[\mu(x)-1], & y=\bar{x}, x \in \mathbb{X}, \\ 1, & y=x=\bar{x}\end{cases}$

## Representation result for average costs

## Proposition

For $\phi \in \mathbb{F}$, let $h^{\phi}(x):=\mu(x)\left[\bar{v}_{\bar{\beta}}^{\phi}(x)-\bar{v}_{\bar{\beta}}^{\phi}(\ell)\right], x \in \mathbb{X}$. Then

$$
\bar{v}_{\bar{\beta}}^{\phi}(\ell)+h^{\phi}(x)=c(x, \phi(x))+\sum_{y \in \mathbb{X}} q(y \mid x, \phi(x)) h^{\phi}(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{X}
$$

If the one-step costs $c$ are bounded and the $q(y \mid x, a)$ 's are transition probabilities, then $w^{\phi} \equiv \bar{v}{ }_{\beta}^{\phi}(\ell)$.

Proof. Rewrite

$$
\bar{v}_{\bar{\beta}}^{\phi}(x)=\bar{c}(x, \phi(x))+\bar{\beta} \sum_{y \in \overline{\mathbb{X}}} \bar{p}(y \mid x, \phi(x)) \bar{v}_{\bar{\beta}}^{\phi}(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{X},
$$

in terms of the original problem data.

## Main result for average-cost MDPs

## Theorem - cf. Derman (1966), Derman \& Veinott (1967), Federgruen \& Tijms (1978), Dynkin \& Yushkevich (1979)

Suppose the original MDP with transition probabilities $q$ satisfies Assumption HT and the Compactness Conditions. Then:
(i) $w=\bar{v}_{\bar{\beta}}(\ell)$ and $h(x)=\mu(x)\left[\bar{v}_{\bar{\beta}}(x)-\bar{v}_{\bar{\beta}}(\ell)\right], x \in \mathbb{X}$, satisfy the optimality equation

$$
w+h(x)=\min _{A(x)}\left[c(x, a)+\sum_{y \in \mathbb{X}} q(y \mid x, a) h(y)\right], x \in \mathbb{X}
$$

(ii) there is a stationary average-cost optimal policy;
(iii) any $\phi \in \mathbb{F}$ satisfying

$$
\phi(x) \in A_{\mathrm{av}}^{*}(x):=\left\{a \in A(x) \mid w+h(x)=c(x, a)+\sum_{y \in \mathbb{X}} q(y \mid x, a) h(y)\right\}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$ is average-cost optimal, and for $x \in \mathbb{X}$

$$
A_{\mathrm{av}}^{*}(x)=\left\{a \in A(x) \mid \bar{v}_{\bar{\beta}}(x)=\bar{c}(x, a)+\bar{\beta} \sum_{y \in \overline{\mathbb{X}}} \bar{p}(y \mid x, a) \bar{v}_{\bar{\beta}}(y)\right\}
$$

## A strongly polynomial algorithm

To compute an average-cost optimal policy for an MDP with transition probabilities that satisfy Assumption HT, solve the LP

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { minimize } & \sum_{x \in \overline{\mathbb{X}}} \sum_{a \in \bar{A}(x)} \bar{c}(x, a) z_{x, a} \\
\text { such that } & \sum_{a \in \bar{A}(x)} z_{x, a}-\bar{\beta} \sum_{y \in \overline{\mathbb{X}}} \sum_{a \in \bar{A}(y)} \bar{p}(x \mid y, a) z_{y, a}=1 \quad \forall x \in \overline{\mathbb{X}}, \\
& z_{x, a} \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \overline{\mathbb{X}}, a \in \bar{A}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $\bar{\beta}=\left(K^{*}-1\right) / K^{*}$ and $K^{*}>1$, Scherrer's (2013) results imply that this LP can be solved using

$$
O\left(m K^{*} \log K^{*}\right) \quad \text { iterations }
$$

of the block-pivoting simplex method corresponding to Howard's policy iteration - see also Akian \& Gaubert (2013).

## Summary

1. A simple deterministic MDP shows that the value iteration algorithm is not strongly polynomial.
2. Transient MDPs satisfying the Compactness Conditions can be reduced to discounted ones.
3. Average-cost MDPs satisfying Assumption HT and the Compactness Conditions can be reduced to discounted ones.
4. The reductions lead to strongly polynomial algorithms.
