## Problem Set 9

## Handwritten assignments will not be accepted

1. We saw in class that Lipschitz continuity of the gradient is key for ensuring convergence of the projected gradient method. In this exercise, you will learn more examples of functions with Lipschitz continuous gradients. Prove that the following functions have Lipschitz continuous gradients and provide a Lipschitz constant:
(a) Composition with linear. Suppose that $f: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function with an $L$-Lipschitz continuous gradient and let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ be a matrix, and let $b$ be a vector. Show that the function $f(A x-b)$ has a Lipschitz continuous gradient. What is the its Lipschitz constant? (Hint: use the chain rule to compute the gradient.)
(b) Sums. Suppose that $f_{1}, f_{2}: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are functions with $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$-Lipschitz continuous gradients, respectively. Prove that the gradient of $f_{1}+f_{2}$ is Lipschitz continuous, and determine its Lipschitz constant.
(c) Squared norm: $f(x)=\frac{1}{2}\|x\|^{2}$.
(d) Logistic loss: $f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left(1+\exp \left(x_{i}\right)\right)$.
(e) Student's-t: $f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\nu}{2} \log \left(1+\frac{x_{i}^{2}}{\nu}\right)$ (where $\nu>0$ is fixed).
(f) $\sin : f(x)=\sin (x)$.
(g) Hybrid norm: $f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{1+x_{i}^{2}}$.
2. Local Optimality. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a nonempty closed convex set. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Let $\bar{x}$ be a local minimizer of $f$ in $C$, i.e., there exists an $\delta>0$ such

$$
(\forall y \in C \cap B(\bar{x} ; \delta)) \quad f(y) \geq f(\bar{x}) .
$$

Suppose further that $f$ is differentiable at $\bar{x}$. Prove that $-\nabla f(\bar{x}) \in N_{C}(\bar{x})$.
3. Robust Regression with Student's-t. Consider the matlab script

```
%% Generate Data
clear all; close all; clc
step = .01;
grid = 0:step:1;
nb_samples = length(grid);
nb_corrupt = ceil(.5*nb_samples);
y_clean = sin(4*pi*grid)';
p = randperm(nb_samples);
```

```
y = y_clean;
y(p(1:nb_corrupt)) = y(p(1:nb_corrupt)) + 5*rand(nb_corrupt, 1);
y(1) = y_clean(1); y(end) = y_clean(end);% Make sure endpoints are clean
```

```
%% Set up model
```

%% Set up model
rate = 9; % rate of sin
rate = 9; % rate of sin
X = ones(nb_samples, 1);
X = ones(nb_samples, 1);
for ii = 1:rate
for ii = 1:rate
X = [X, sin(ii*pi*grid')];
X = [X, sin(ii*pi*grid')];
end
end
%% Fit Least Squares
x_LS = X\y;
%% Solvers
params.max_iter = 500000;
params.tol = 1e-10;
params.init_x = x_LS; %% Initialize at the Least Squares Solution
params.X = X;
params.y = y;
nu = .01;
params.loss = @(r) f_ST(r, nu); %% Student's t
params.P_C = @(x) x; % unconstrained
[x_ST, history_ST] = projected_gradient( params );
D = pinv(X([1, nb_samples], :));
params.P_C = @(x) x - D*(X([1, nb_samples], :)*x - y([1, nb_samples])); % Constrained
[x_ST_constrained, history_ST_constrained] = projected_gradient( params );
%% Plot polynomial fit
figure;
scatter(grid, y);
hold on
plot(grid, y_clean);
plot(grid, X*x_LS);
plot(grid, X*x_ST);
plot(grid, X*x_ST_constrained);
legend('Noisy Signal', 'True signal', 'LS Fit', 'ST fit', 'x_ST_constrained');
%% Plot coefficients
figure;

```
```

plot(0:length(x_ST)-1, x_ST');
hold on
plot(0:length(x_ST)-1, x_ST_constrained');
legend('Unconstrained', 'Constrained');

```

\section*{and auxiliary function}
```

function [f, g, Lip] = f_ST(r, nu)
f = sum(0.5*(nu).*log(1 + r.^2/nu));
if(nargout> 1)
g = r.*nu./(nu + r.^2);
end
if(nargout > 2)
Lip = 1;
end
end

```
(a) Fill in the projected_gradient function so that it solves the minimization problem:
\[
\operatorname{minimize}_{x \in C} \operatorname{loss}(X x-y),
\]
where \(X_{i}\) is the \(i\) th row of \(X\).
```

function [ x ] = projected_gradient( params )
max_iter = params.max_iter;
X = params.X;
y = params.y;
loss = params.loss;
P_C = params.P_C;
tol = params.tol;
x = params.init_x;
normX2 = norm(X) ^2;
for ii = 1:max_iter

```

Fill in here
end
end
Expect loss ( \(\mathrm{X} * \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}\) ) to return three arguments [f, g , Lip] where \(f\) is the numerical value of loss \((\mathrm{X} * \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}), \mathrm{g}\) is the gradient of loss at \(\mathrm{X} * \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}\), and Lip is the Lipschitz constant of \(\nabla\) loss - in particular, you should expect loss to behave exactly like f_ST. Write your function so that it exits when \(\left\|x^{k+1}-x^{k}\right\| / \gamma \leq t o l\). Include your code. (Hint: you should use your result from problem 1(a) to compute the gradient of the total function loss \(\circ(X(\cdot)-y)\) in terms of \(X, X^{T}, y, \mathrm{~g}\), and \(x\).)
(b) Explain, mathematically, the matrix \(X\), the outputs \(y\), the solution \(x\), the constraint set \(C\), and the outcome of the code.
(c) Run the code multiple times (it's random so the outcome should be different each time). Which model consistently obtains the best fit (judge visually)? Include a plot in which this model obtains the best fit.```

