ORIE 6300 Mathematical Programming I

November 15, 2016

Scribe: Lijun Ding

Lecture 22

Lecturer: Damek Davis

1 Last time

1. A point \bar{x} is stationary for the problem $\inf_{x \in C} f(x)$ if it satisfies

$$-\nabla f(\bar{x}) \in N_C(\bar{x}) \quad (OPT).$$

2. The following theorem follows from the relation between the projection and the normal cone.

Theorem 1 \bar{x} satisfies OPT if and only if

$$(\forall r > 0)$$
 $\bar{x} = P_C(\bar{x} - \gamma \nabla f(\bar{x})).$

3. The projected gradient can be summerized as follows. Let L be the Lipschitz constant of the gradient ∇f .

Input: $x^0 \in C$, $0 < \gamma < \frac{2}{L}$ For $k = 0, 1, \dots, do$ $x^{k+1} = P_C(x^k - \gamma \nabla f(x^k)).$

4. The following theorem asserts that OPT is a sufficient and necessary condition under differentiability of f and the convexity of f and C.

Theorem 2 Let f be differentiable and convex, and $C \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed convex set. Then

$$-\nabla f(x) \in N_C(x) \iff x \in \arg\min_{y \in C} f(y).$$

5. Using the above theorem, we were able to develop necessary and sufficient conditions for optimality of $\inf_{x\mathbb{R}^n} \{(f+g)(x)\}$.

Theorem 3 Suppose, f and g are convex, f differentiable and g continuous, then

$$\bar{x} \in \arg\min\{f(x) + g(x)\} \iff 0 \in \nabla f(\bar{x}) + \partial g(\bar{x}).$$

2 Nonsmooth Convex functions

Nonsmoothness is essential to accurately expressive modeling in applied science.

Example 1 The goal of compressed sensing is to solve the following problem:

$$\min \|x\|_1$$

subject to: $Ax = b$

The loss function is nonsmooth. As the following figure indicates, random affine spaces are are highly likely to hit the corners of the ℓ_1 ball. These corners are precisely sparse solutions to the linear equation.



In contrast, solutions to the ℓ_2 minimization problem

 $\min \|x\|_2$
subject to:Ax = b,

are not likely to be sparse. Indeed, random affine spaces are equally likely to touch the ℓ_2 ball at any point on the boundary.



The following two question come up when we want to solve nonsmooth optimization problems.

- How do we differentiate nonsmooth problems? (Today)
- How do we perform "projected gradient" descent on nonsmooth problems?

First a piece of notation:

Notation 1 Throughout the rest of the course, we will denote $\overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$.

In nonsmooth optimization, it is convenient to consider extended real valued functions, i.e., functions which possibly take on the value ∞ . Such functions are able to implicitly enforce constraints such as $x \in C$ by taking on infinite values outside of C. In that spirit, we introduce the notation

$$\operatorname{dom}(g) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g(x) < \infty \}.$$

Thus, we allow the function $g \equiv \infty$, but since dom $(g) = \emptyset$, it doesn't seem like a proper function. This motivates the terminology.

Definition 1 A function $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is called proper if

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^n, s.t. \ g(x) < \infty$$

Thus, proper functions are exactly the functions with nonempty domain.

We will only deal with convex sets throughout the rest of the course. The definition of convexity for extended real-valued functions is exactly the same as the standard definition.

Definition 2 (Convexity) A function $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is called convex if

$$(\forall \alpha \in [0,1]), (\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n)$$
 $g((1-\alpha)x + \alpha y) \le (1-\alpha)g(x) + \alpha g(y).$

Functions which take on the value ∞ cannot be continuous. Thus, we need to introduce a new notion of continuity tailored to ∞ -valued functions.

Definition 3 A function $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is called lower-semicontinuous, or closed, if

$$epi(g) := \{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} | g(x) \le t\}$$

is a closed set.

We arrive at the above definition of lower-semicontinuity because it is analogous to the following property of continuous functions:

Exercise 1 The function $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous if, and only if, g is everywhere finite and $gph(g) = \{(x, g(x)) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ is a closed set.

One useful property of continuity is that continuous functions commute with limits:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k = x \implies \lim_{k \to \infty} g(x_k) = g(x)$$

Lower-semicontinuous functions do not commute with limits in general, but they do satisfy another useful property:

Exercise 2 Let $g : \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and suppose that $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence with the property that $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_k = x$. Prove that

$$\liminf g(x_k) \ge g(x).$$

The above result shows that epi(g) can have sudden downward drops, such as from ∞ to 0.

We give some examples of lower-semicontinuous functions below. You should convince yourself about the assertions in the examples.

Example 2 Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ closed. Then the indicator function

$$\iota_C(x) = \begin{cases} \infty & x \notin C; \\ 0 & x \in C. \end{cases}$$

is closed. If C is conex, then ι_C is convex.

Example 3 Let $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be closed and convex and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$. Then g(Ax) is convex and closed.

Example 4 The sum of closed convex functions is convex.

Example 5 Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, be a function such that for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the function $f(\cdot, y)$ is closed and convex. Then $g(x) := \sup_y f(x, y)$ is closed and convex.

Example 6 The following is a list of convex functions that often appear in real optimization problems: $\exp(x), \log(1+\exp(x)), \frac{1}{2} ||Ax-b||^2, ||x||_p$ for $p \in [1,\infty], -\sqrt{x}, -\log(x), \max\{0,1-x\}$ (hinge).

Example 7 Example of a function which is convex, but not closed:

$$g(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & x^2 + y^2 < 1\\ \phi(x,y) & x^2 + y^2 = 1 \end{cases}$$

where ϕ is an arbitrary nonnegative function. The function g may not be always closed.

Note that dom(g) may be open even if epi(g) is closed. Indeed, consider the function defined by $g(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ if x > 0 and $g(x) = \infty$ otherwise.

3 Differentiating nonsmooth ∞ -valued functions

Definition 4 Let $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a function. The set

 $\partial g(x) := \{ v \in \mathbb{R}^n | (\forall y) \ g(y) \ge g(x) + \langle v, y - x \rangle \}$

is called the convex subdifferential operator of g. Elements $v \in \partial g(x)$ are called subgradients.

You should have the following figure in mind:



Even for convex functions, subgradients do not necessarily exist. Indeed, consider $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$ at x = 0:



Example 8 Let C be closed and convex. Then $\partial \iota_C(x) = N_C(x)$

Thus, we have been studying subgradients since week 3! On Homework 3, problem 3, you showed that

$$\begin{bmatrix} v\\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \in N_{\operatorname{epi}(g)}((x, g(x))) \iff v \in \partial g(x).$$

In that exercise, we assumed that g is convex and continuous, but that condition can be relaxed to merely assuming that g is convex and closed. So subdifferential operators are deeply connected to normal cones of epigraphs.

When

$$\begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in N_{{\rm epi}(g)}((x,g(x)))$$

we get horizontal normals (or vertical hyperplanes); see the plot of $-\sqrt{x}$ at the point (0,0).

These horizontal normals are called horizon subgradients.

Definition 5 For closed, convex $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, the horizon subdifferential is the set-valued operator

$$\partial^{\infty} g(x) = \left\{ v \in \mathbb{R}^n \middle| \begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in N_{\operatorname{epi}(g)}(x, g(x)) \right\}.$$

Exercise 3 Let $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be closed and convex. Show that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\partial^{\infty}g(x) = N_{\operatorname{dom}(g)}(x)$. (Note that there is a slight technicality here. The domain of g is not necessarily closed, but we can still define its normal cone in the usual way. In particular, for points $x \in \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{dom}(g))$, we still have $N_{\operatorname{dom}(g)}(x) = \{0\}$.)

We can completely characterize the normal cone of the epigraph through the convex and horizon subdifferentials.

Theorem 4 Let $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be closed and convex. Then $\forall x \in \text{dom}(g)$,

$$N_{\operatorname{epi}(g)}((x,g(x))) = \left\{ \lambda \begin{bmatrix} v \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \middle| v \in \partial g(x), \lambda > 0 \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \middle| v \in \partial^{\infty} g(x) \right\}.$$

Proof: From the definition of the horizon subdifferential and the equivalence

$$\begin{bmatrix} v\\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \in N_{\operatorname{epi}(g)}((x, g(x)) \iff v \in \partial g(x),$$

we see we only need to that $\exists v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ s.t.

$$\begin{bmatrix} v\\1\end{bmatrix} \in N_{\operatorname{epi}(g)}((x,g(x))).$$

Suppose for contradicition that such v exists. Then

$$0 \ge \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x \\ g(x) + 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} x \\ g(x) \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = g(x) + 1 - g(x) = 1$$

which is a contradiction.

Corollary 5 Let $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be closed and convex. Then

1.
$$(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(g))$$
 $\partial g(x) \cup (\partial g(x) \setminus \{0\}) \neq \emptyset$

2.
$$(\forall x \in int(dom(g)))$$
 $\partial g(x) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof:

1. Since $(x, g(x)) \in \text{boundary}(\text{epi}(g))$,

$$N_{\text{epi}(q)}((x, g(x))) \neq \{0\}.$$

Then use the previous theorem.

2. Since $\partial^{\infty} g(x) = N_{\text{dom}(q)}(x) = \{0\}$ by previous exercise, part 1 implies $\partial g(x) \neq \emptyset$.

The above corollary tells us that subgradients (in a broader sense) exist at any any point in dom(g) and they are either vertical (horizon) or non vertical.