In this lecture, we show how to construct low-stretch trees. This is a bit out of order because we have not yet said why having low-stretch trees is useful for anything having to do with spectral graph theory. The next few lectures will be built on each other, so it is useful for us to explain how to construct such trees in this lecture, and all we have to remember is that we can do it.

1 Low-Stretch Trees

**Definition 1** Let \( G = (V, E) \) be an undirected graph with weights \( w(i, j) \geq 0 \).

For a spanning tree \( T \) of \( G \), the **stretch** of an edge \((k, l)\) is

\[
st_T(k, l) = \frac{d_T(k, l)}{w(k, l)}
\]

where \( d_T(k, l) = \text{sum of weights on } k-l \text{ path in } T \).

The **total stretch** of \( T \) is

\[
st_T(G) = \sum_{e \in E} st_T(e)
\]

The **average stretch** of \( T \) is

\[
\frac{1}{|E|} st_T(G)
\]

Alon, Karp, Peleg, and West (1995) introduced the idea of low-stretch trees, and showed how to find a tree \( T \) with average stretch \( \leq \exp(O(\sqrt{\log n \log \log n})) \). Today we will look at a simplified version of their result for unweighted graphs.

2 Algorithm for Unweighted Graphs

**Idea:** We will partition \( G \) into low-diameter clusters, so that there are not too many edges between the clusters. Then, we construct trees in these clusters, contract the clusters to vertices and recurse.

---
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To deal with the recursion, it will be useful to consider multigraphs. Let $c(e)$ denote the multiplicity of edge $e$ in the multigraph. For a subset of edges $F \subseteq E$, we define $c(F) = \sum_{e \in F} c(e)$. In the next section, we will describe a clustering algorithm that proves the following Lemma. The algorithm uses a standard technique called region-growing.

**Lemma 1** Let $C = c(E)$ and $D(C)$ be some parameter. Then there is a partition of $G$ into clusters s.t.

- every cluster has diameter $\leq D(C)$ and
- there are at most $\alpha(C)|E|$ intercluster edges where $\alpha(C) \leq \frac{4\ln(C)}{D(C)}$

where $e$ is an internal edge if both endpoints are inside the same cluster and is an intercluster edge otherwise.

We will prove that our clustering algorithm satisfies this lemma but for now, assume that the lemma is true. Then the algorithm formalizing our idea is as follows:

**Algorithm 1: LowStretchTree**

1. Find partition of $G$ into clusters $U_1, U_2, \ldots$, each with diameter $\leq D(C)$
2. For each cluster $U_i$
   - Construct a shortest path tree $T_i$ in $U_i$
   - Construct $G'$ by contracting each $U_i$ into a vertex $u_i$
   - $T' \leftarrow$ LowStretchTree($G'$)
3. Return $T' \cup \bigcup_i T_i$

**Theorem 2 (Alon et al. '95)** Pick any $\epsilon > 0$. Then the above algorithm returns a tree of average stretch $n^{O(\epsilon)}$.

**Proof:** Let $\hat{T}$ be the tree returned by the algorithm. Pick a random edge $(i, j) \in E$ and let $P$ be the $i$-$j$ path $\hat{T}$. Let $U_1, U_2, \ldots$ be the clusters found in the clustering algorithm and let $T'$ be the result of the recursive call.

If $(i, j)$ is an internal edge in cluster $U_k$, then $d_{\hat{T}}(i, j) \leq 2D(C)$, since the diameter of $U_k$ is at most $D(C)$.

If $(i, j)$ is an edge between clusters $U_k$ and $U_l$, let $P'$ be the path in $T'$ between $U_k$ and $U_l$. Since $(i, j)$ was chosen at random, its expected stretch is at most the average stretch of $T'$. Therefore,

expected # edges on path $P \leq \left( \text{expected # edges in } P' \right) + \left( \text{expected # vertices in } P' \right) \cdot \max \text{diam}(T_i)$

$\leq \left( \text{avg stretch of } T' \right) + \left( \text{avg stretch of } T' + 1 \right) \left( 2D(C) \right)$

$\leq \left( \text{avg stretch of } T' \right) \cdot 5D(C)$
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Let \( c'(e) \) be multiplicity of resulting edge \( e \) in \( G' \) and \( c'(E) = C' \), and let \( S(C) = \) worst case average stretch over all graphs \( G \) s.t. \( c(E) = C \). By Lemma 3, the maximum number of intercluster edges is \( \alpha(C)|E| \) where \( \alpha(C) = \frac{4\ln(C)}{D(C)} \), which implies that \( C' \leq \alpha(C) \cdot C \). Then,

\[
S(C) \leq 2D(C) + \alpha(C) \cdot S(\alpha(C)C) + 5D(C)
\]

If we set \( D(C) = 4\ln(C)C^{3/2} \leq C' \), then \( \alpha(C) = C^{-\epsilon/2} \). This makes \( S(C) \leq 2C' + 20\ln(C)S(C^{1-\epsilon/2}) \). If we guess that \( S(C) \leq 3C' \), then we check the recursive equation and see that

\[
S(C) \leq 2C' + 20\ln(C) \cdot 3C'^{-\epsilon^2/2} \leq 3C'.
\]

Observing that \( C \leq n^2 \) initially gives \( S(C) \leq 3n^{2\epsilon} \), and we have proved the theorem.

\( \square \)

### 3 Clustering Algorithm

We return to Lemma 1. First, we will define some notation:

\[
\begin{align*}
B(i,r) &= \{ j \in V : d(i,j) \leq r \} \\
E(i,r) &= E(B(i,r)) \\
\delta(i,r) &= \delta(B(i,r))
\end{align*}
\]

where \( d(i,j) \) is the length of the shortest \( i-j \) path in \( G \).

\begin{algorithm}
if \( \alpha \geq 1 \) then
    return each vertex in its own cluster
\end{algorithm}

\begin{algorithm}
l \leftarrow 1
while \( G \) nonempty do
    Pick \( i \) in \( G \)
    Let \( r^* \) be smallest \( r \) s.t. \( c(E(i,r+1)) \leq (1 + \alpha)c(E(i,r)) \)
    \( V_i \leftarrow B(i,r^*) \)
    \( l \leftarrow l + 1 \)
    Remove \( U_l \) and all incident edges from \( G \)
return \( V_1, \cdots, V_k \)

### Proof of Lemma 3

We will prove both claims.
First, we must show that there are at most \( \alpha(C)|E| \) intercluster edges. For each cluster \( U_l \),

\[
c(\delta(U_l)) = c(\delta(i, r^*)) \\
\leq c\left(E(i, r^* + 1) - E(i, r^*)\right) \\
= c(E(i, r^* + 1)) - c(E(i, r^*)) \\
\leq \alpha c(E(i, r^*)),
\]

by construction. So we can charge edges in \( \delta(U_l) \) against edges in \( E(i, r^*) \). The charge is unique since \( E(i, r^*) \) is removed from the graph in the next step. Therefore, we have at most \( \alpha(C)|E| \) intercluster edges.

Second, we claim that \( r^* \leq \frac{D(C)}{2} \). Suppose not. Then,

\[
c(E(i, r + 1)) > (1 + \alpha)c(E(i, r)) \quad \text{for } r = 1, \ldots, \frac{D(C)}{2}
\]

Therefore

\[
c(E(i, r^*)) \geq c(E(i, 1))(1 + \alpha)^{D(C)/2} \\
> 1\left(e^{\alpha/2}\right)^{D(C)/2} \\
= e^{\alpha D(C)} \\
= e^{\ln C} \\
= C
\]

This is a contradiction, since there are more edges in \( c(E(i, r^*)) \) than there are in the entire graph.

\[\square\]

4 Final Remarks

For the overall running time, we notice that the clustering algorithm runs in \( O(m) \) time, since it essentially does a breadth-first search. We observe that \( \log(C) \) decreases by a factor of \( 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \) at each recursive call, so that we make \( O(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log \log C) = O(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log \log n) \) total recursive calls. This means the entire algorithm takes \( O(\frac{1}{\epsilon} m \log \log n) \) time.

The best known result finds a tree of \( O(m \log n \log \log n) \) total stretch in \( O(m \log n \log \log n) \) time (Abraham and Neiman STOC 2012).

An open question is whether it is possible to find a tree of total stretch \( O(m \log n) \) in \( \tilde{O}(m) \) time. Alon et al. show that every tree has total stretch \( \Omega(m \log n) \) in grid graphs and in graphs with \( O(n) \) edges and girth \( \Omega(\log n) \), so it is not possible to find a tree of total stretch \( o(m \log n) \) in every graph.