

## Lecture 5

*Lecturer: David P. Williamson**Scribe: Rahmtin Rotabi*

# 1 Graph Laplacians

Let's let  $e_i \in \{0, 1\}^n$  be the standard basis vectors (1 in the  $i$ -th coordinate, 0's else where).

A *Laplacian* of an undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$ ,

$$L_G = \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (e_i - e_j)(e_i - e_j)^T.$$

Each term  $(e_i - e_j)(e_i - e_j)^T$  is an  $|V| \times |V|$  matrix that has +1 in the  $(i, i)$  and  $(j, j)$  coordinate, -1 in the  $(i, j)$  and  $(j, i)$  coordinate and the rest of the entries are all zero. Now, we define the following notation:

- $d(i)$ : degree of  $i$  in  $G$ .
- $D$ :  $\text{diag}(d(i))$  is the  $|V| \times |V|$  diagonal matrix where  $D(i, i) = d(i)$ .
- $A$ : Adjacency matrix of graph  $A$ .

With this notation we can write  $L_G = D - A$ .

If  $G$  has weights  $w(i, j), \forall (i, j) \in E$ , then the *weighted Laplacian*,

$$L_G = \sum_{(i,j) \in E} w(i, j)(e_i - e_j)(e_i - e_j)^T.$$

Define  $W = (w(i, j)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  where  $w(i, j) = 0$  if  $(i, j) \notin E$  and  $D = \text{diag}(d(i))$ , where  $d(i) = \sum_{(i,j) \in E} w(i, j)$ . Then  $L_G = D - W$ . We will sometimes denote this matrix by  $L_{G,w}$ .

An interesting and useful fact is that the Laplacian  $L_G$  is positive semidefinite. Let's briefly remember what this means, as well as some useful facts about such matrices.

**Definition 1** A matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is positive semidefinite, if  $x^T A x \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $A$  is positive semidefinite we write  $A \succeq 0$ .

---

<sup>0</sup>This lecture is derived from Lau's 2015 lecture notes, Lecture 2 (<https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/~lapchi/cs798/notes/L02.pdf>), Cvetković, Rowlinson, and Simić, *An Introduction to the Theory of Graph Spectra*, Section 7.4, and Mohar and Poljak, *Eigenvalues in Combinatorial Optimization*, Sections 2.1 and 2.4.

Given what we know about matrices, the following fact is easy to prove, but we will skip its proof.

**Fact 1** *For a symmetric matrix  $A$  the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $A \succeq 0$ .
- (ii)  $A = VV^T$  for some matrix  $V$ .
- (iii)  $A$  has all non-negative eigenvalues.

We can now show that  $L_G$  is positive semidefinite, which we will do in two different ways.

**Claim 1**  $L_G \succeq 0$ .

**Proof:**

**First proof:**

Note  $L_G$  is symmetric.

We observe that if  $A \succeq 0$  and  $B \succeq 0$  then  $A + B \succeq 0$ , since

$$x^T(A + B)x = x^T Ax + x^T Bx \geq 0$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Note that by (ii),  $(e_i - e_j)(e_i - e_j)^T \succeq 0$ . So, by summing up all these terms we will get  $L_G$  and based on the observation above we can say  $L_G \succeq 0$ .  $\square$

**Second proof:**

Also we know that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x^T L_G x &= x^T \left( \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (e_i - e_j)(e_i - e_j)^T \right) x \\ &= \sum_{(i,j) \in E} x^T (e_i - e_j)(e_i - e_j)^T x \\ &= \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (x(i) - x(j))(x(i) - x(j)) \\ &= \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (x(i) - x(j))^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

We will usually write the eigenvalues of  $L_G$ ,  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  and since we know that  $L_G$  is positive semi-definite we can write  $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ .

What is the spectrum of  $L_G$ ? We observe that  $e$  (all 1s vector) is an eigenvector of eigenvalue 0 for  $L_G$ , since:

$$L_G e = \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (e_i - e_j)(e_i - e_j)^T e = \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (e_i - e_j \cdot 0) = 0 \cdot e.$$

Thus  $\lambda_1 = 0$ .

## 2 Graph Laplacians and Connectivity

Now we switch our focus to  $\lambda_2$ , which is much more interesting. We will see a very close connection between  $\lambda_2$  and various notions of the connectivity of the graph.

**Theorem 2**  $\lambda_2 = 0$  iff  $G$  is disconnected.

**Proof:** If  $G$  is disconnected then, we can partition it into  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  such that there are no edges between  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . Furthermore, we can re-index the nodes so that

$$L_G = \begin{bmatrix} L_{G_1} & 0 \\ 0 & L_{G_2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then both vectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(where first  $|V_{G_1}|$  entries of the first vector is 1 and the rest are zero and the opposite for the second vector) will be eigenvectors of  $L_G$  and orthogonal to each other. Since the eigenvalues associated with both vectors are 0, this implies that  $\lambda_2 = 0$ .

To see the other direction, let  $x_2$  be an eigenvector of eigenvalue  $\lambda_2$ . We can assume  $\langle x_2, e \rangle = 0$ . If  $\lambda_2 = 0$ , then  $x_2^T G x_2 = x_2^T (\lambda_2 x_2) = 0$ . So then,

$$x_2^T L_G x_2 = \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (x_2(i) - x_2(j))^2 = 0.$$

The summation of squared real values is 0, therefore each of them is equal to zero. Therefore,  $x_2(i) = x_2(j)$  for all  $(i, j) \in E$ . Consider  $V_1 = \{i \in V : x_2(i) \geq 0\}$  and  $V_2 = \{i \in V : x_2(i) < 0\}$ . It's clear there are no edges between  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . Since  $\langle x_2, e \rangle = 0$ , there should be both positive and negative entries in  $x_2$  which proves that  $V_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $V_2 \neq \emptyset$ , and hence  $G$  has at least two components.  $\square$

For this reason  $\lambda_2$  is called the *algebraic connectivity* of  $G$ . The proof above easily extends to prove the following.

**Claim 3**  $\lambda_k = 0$  iff  $G$  has at least  $k$  components.

We now show another connection between  $\lambda_2$  and the connectivity of the graph  $G$ .

**Definition 2**  $\kappa(G)$  is the vertex connectivity of  $G$ ; it is the smallest nonnegative integer such that we can remove up to  $\kappa(G) - 1$  vertices and associated edges from  $G$  and  $G$  is still connected.

We will show the following shortly. Let  $G - S$  be the graph that results from removing the vertices in  $S$  from the graph, as well as all edges incident on the vertices in  $S$ .

**Lemma 4**  $\lambda_2(L_G) \leq \lambda_2(L_{G-S}) + |S|$ , for all  $S \subseteq V$ .

Note that we easily get the following corollary.

**Corollary 5**  $\lambda_2(G) \leq \kappa(G)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $S$  be a set of vertices of size  $\kappa(G)$  that disconnects  $G$ . Then

$$\lambda_2(G - S) = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_2(G) \leq 0 + \kappa(G).$$

□

**Proof of Lemma 4:** Let  $x_2$  be the eigenvector of  $L_{G-S}$  corresponding to  $\lambda_2(L_{G-S})$ , with  $x_2^T x = 1$ ,  $\langle x_2, e \rangle = 0$ .

Then we know

$$x_2^T L_G x_2 = \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (x_2(i) - x_2(j))^2 = \lambda_2(L_{G-S})$$

for  $G - S = (V - S, E')$ . Note that  $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{|V|-|S|}$ . We want a vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{|V|}$ , so we let

$$x(i) = \begin{cases} x_2(i), & \text{if } i \in S \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

With this definition  $x$  is a unit vector since,  $x^T x = x_2^T x_2 = 1$  and  $\langle x, e \rangle = \langle x_2, e \rangle = 0$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2(L_G) &= \min_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n: \langle z, e \rangle = 0} \frac{z^T L_G z}{z^T z} \leq \frac{x^T L_G x}{x^T x} \\ &= x^T L_G x \\ &= \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (x(i) - x(j))^2 \\ &= \sum_{(i,j) \in E'} (x(i) - x(j))^2 + \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{j: (i,j) \in E} (x(i) - x(j))^2 \\ &= \sum_{(i,j) \in E'} (x_2(i) - x_2(j))^2 + \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{j: (i,j) \in E} (x_2(j))^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{(i,j) \in E'} (x_2(i) - x_2(j))^2 + \sum_{i \in S} 1 \text{ (} x_2 \text{ has unit norm)} \\ &= \lambda_2(L_{G-S}) + |S|. \end{aligned}$$

□

### 3 Graph Laplacians and Cuts

We now see that we can get some easy bounds on various types of cuts in graphs by using the eigenvalues of the Laplacian.

**Definition 3** If  $|V|$  is even, let  $b(G)$  be the smallest bisection of  $G$ ; that is

$$b(G) = \min_{S \subseteq V: |S|=|V-S|} |\delta(S)|,$$

where  $\delta(S)$  is the set of edges with one endpoint in  $S$  and the other endpoint in  $V - S$ . We say the edges in  $\delta(S)$  are the edges in the cut defined by  $S$ .

**Claim 6**

$$\frac{n}{4} \lambda_2(G) \leq b(G).$$

**Proof:** Let  $\bar{S}$  be an optimal bisection. Let  $x$  in  $\{-1, +1\}^n$  s.t.

$$x(i) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } i \in \bar{S} \\ +1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Recall that

$$\lambda_2 = \min_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n, \langle z, e \rangle = 0} \frac{z^T L_G z}{z^T z}.$$

Note that  $\langle x, e \rangle = 0$  since half of the entries of  $x$  are  $-1$  and half are  $+1$ . Therefore,

$$\lambda_2 \leq \frac{x^T L_G x}{x^T x} = \sum_{(i,j) \in E} \frac{(x(i) - x(j))^2}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot 4|\delta(\bar{S})| = \frac{4}{n} b(G).$$

□

To conclude the lecture, we turn to the largest eigenvalue of the Laplacian, and show that it has a connection to large cuts in the graph.

**Definition 4** Let  $mc(G)$  be the maximum cut in the graph, so that

$$mc(G) = \max_{S \subseteq V} |\delta(S)|.$$

Then using the same idea as the proof above, we can show the following.

**Claim 7**

$$mc(G) \leq \frac{n}{4} \lambda_n(L_G).$$

**Proof:** Let  $\bar{S}$  be a maximum cut and

$$x(i) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } i \in \bar{S} \\ +1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then,

$$\lambda_n = \max_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{z^T L_G z}{z^T z} \geq \frac{x^T L_G x}{x^T x} = \sum_{(i,j) \in E} \frac{(x(i) - x(j))^2}{n} = \frac{4|\delta(\bar{S})|}{n} = \frac{4}{n} mc(G).$$

□

In fact, we can modify the bound above to give a tighter bound on the maximum cut.

**Claim 8**

$$mc(G) \leq \frac{n}{4} \min_{u: \langle u, e \rangle = 0} \lambda_n(L_G + \text{diag}(u)),$$

where  $\text{diag}(u)$  is a diagonal matrix that  $\text{diag}(u)(i, i) = u(i)$ .

**Proof:** Following the same definition of  $x$  as above, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n(L_G + \text{diag}(u)) &= \max_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{z^T (L_G + \text{diag}(u)) z}{z^T z} \\ &\geq \frac{x^T L_G x + x^T \text{diag}(u) x}{x^T x} \\ &= \frac{4mc(G) + \sum_{i \in V} u(i) x(i)^2}{n} \\ &= \frac{4mc(G)}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $x^2(i) = 1$  for all  $i \in V$ , and  $\sum_{i \in V} u(i) = \langle u, e \rangle = 0$ . □

This bound on the eigenvalue has a connection to other well-known bounds on the maximum cut problem. For a given vector  $u$  such that  $\langle u, e \rangle = 0$ , let  $\lambda = \lambda_n(L_G + u)$ . Define  $\gamma(i) = \lambda - (u(i) + d(i))$  for all  $i \in V$ , where  $d(i)$  is the degree of  $i$  in  $G$ . Then for adjacency matrix  $A$ , we have that

$$A + \text{diag}(\gamma) = \lambda I - (L_G + u).$$

Then we can see that  $A + \text{diag}(\gamma) \succeq 0$  since for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x^T (A + \text{diag}(\gamma)) x &= x^T (\lambda I - (L_G + u)) x \\ &= \lambda x^T x - x^T (L_G + u) x \\ &\geq x^T (L_G + u) x - x^T (L_G + u) x \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows since  $\lambda \geq x^T(L_G + u)x/x^Tx$ . Then we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{n}{4}\lambda &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \in V} (\gamma(i) + u(i) + d(i)) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \in V} \gamma(i) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \in V} d(i) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \in V} \gamma(i) + \frac{1}{2}|E|.\end{aligned}$$

Then finding a  $u$  to minimize  $\frac{n}{4} \min_{u: \langle u, e \rangle = 0} \lambda_n(L_G + \text{diag}(u))$  turns out to be equivalent to finding a  $\gamma$  to minimize

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \in V} \gamma(i) + \frac{1}{2}|E|,$$

subject to

$$A + \text{diag}(\gamma) \succeq 0.$$

This is a *semidefinite program*, and it has a dual semidefinite program of maximizing

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (1 - x_{ij})$$

subject to

$$x_{ii} = 1 \text{ for all } i \in V, \quad X = (x_{ij}) \succeq 0.$$

This semidefinite program is used in a .878-approximation algorithm for the maximum cut problem due to Goemans and W. Thus one can show that the eigenvalue bound is a strong one; we also have that

$$mc(G) \geq .878 \cdot \frac{n}{4} \min_{u: \langle u, e \rangle = 0} \lambda_n(L_G + \text{diag}(u)).$$