ORIE 6300 Mathematical Programming I

October 9, 2008

Lecture 12

Lecturer: David P. Williamson Scribe: Joonkyum Lee

1 Finding an initial basic feasible solution

Recall our discussion from last time about how to find an initial basic feasible solution of a linear program. Suppose we want to find a basic feasible solution of

$$min c^T x
s.t. Ax = b
 x \ge 0.$$

We modify the LP so that there is an easy choice of basic solution. We start by solving

min
$$e^T z$$

s.t. $Ax + Iz = b$
 $x \ge 0$
 $z > 0$.

where e is the vector of all ones, and $b \ge 0$ (if not, then we can multiply the constraints by -1 to achieve this). The z variables are called *artificial variables*, and the x's are called *real variables*. Define $x' := [x^T \ z^T]^T$ and $A' := [A \ I]$ so that the constraints of the modified LP can be written as A'x' = b, $x' \ge 0$.

Let B be the indices corresponding to the artificial variables. Then B is a basis, since the corresponding columns of A' are I, the identity, and thus linearly independent. The corresponding basic feasible solution is x = 0, z = b. We use this to initialize the simplex algorithm.

The simplex method can one of two possible results (note that the modified LP is never unbounded: since $z \ge 0$, the objective function is bounded from below by 0.)

Case (1): The value of the LP is non-zero (and thus strictly greater than zero). Then there are no feasible solutions for the original LP, i.e., there are no x such that Ax = b. Indeed, if there were, we could take z = 0 and thus obtain a new feasible solution to the modified LP with value 0, a contradiction.

Case (2): The value of the LP is zero. Then there are two subcases:

(i) The Good Case: All artificial variables are non-basic. Then $A'_B = A_B$, so that B is a basis also for the original problem: $x'_B = (A'_B)^{-1}b$, $x'_N = 0$ is feasible, so $x_B = A_B^{-1}b$, $x_N = 0$ is a basic feasible solution. for Ax = b.

We can now run the simplex method for the original problem, starting with the basis B.

(ii) The Bad Case: Some artificial variables are in the basis.

In the bad case, we know that all the artificial variables $z_i = 0$. Therefore, the idea is that we should perform pivots, taking artificial variables out of basis, putting "real" variables in.

Recall:
$$\bar{A}' = (A'_B)^{-1} A'_N$$

Now we again have two cases. First, suppose there exists a "real" variable $j \in N$ such that $\bar{A}_{ij} \neq 0$ for artificial variable $i \in B$. Consider pivot $\hat{B} \leftarrow B - \{i\} \cup \{j\}$.

Claim 1 Current solution x' is also a solution associated with \hat{B}

Proof: All we need to show is that x' satisfies A'x' = b and $x'_k = 0 \ \forall k \notin \hat{B}$. A'x' = b since no change to x'. $x'_k = 0 \ \forall k \notin \hat{B}$ since either $k \notin \hat{B}$ or k = i. For $k \notin \hat{B}$, $x'_k = 0$ (same as before). For k = i, $x'_i = 0$ (since i an artificial variable).

Claim 2 \hat{B} is a basis

Proof: We use the same proof we used to show that a pivot leads to a new basis. We have

$$A'_{\hat{B}} = A'_{B} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & A'_{1j} \\ & 1 & A'_{2j} \\ & & \vdots \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$i^{th}$$
 column

where A'_B is non-singular (it was a basis), and the next matrix is also non-singular (because its determinant value is $\bar{A}_{ij} \neq 0$ by assumption.

Now we suppose for artificial variable $i \in B$, for all real $j \in N$, $\bar{A}'_{ij} = 0$. Let α_i be i^{th} row of $(A'_B)^{-1}$. Then for each real $j \in N$

$$\alpha_i A'_j = \bar{A}_{ij} = 0.$$
 $(A'_j : j^{th} \text{ column of } A')$

For each real $j \in B$

$$\alpha_i A_i' = 0$$

since $(A'_B)^{-1}A_B = I$, and $i \neq j$ since j real and i artificial. So then, $\alpha_i A = 0$, which implies that the rows of A not linearly independent. Either this violates an assumption (if we assumed that A has linearly independent rows) or we can find a linearly dependent row and eliminate it.

Finding an initial basic feasible solution an associate basis is called *Phase I* of the simplex method. Finding an optimal solution given the initial basic feasible solution is called *Phase II*.

2 The complexity of a pivot

We now turn to thinking about the complexity (number of arithmetic operations) needed to perform a single pivot. Assume we have a basic feasible solution x and associated basis B. Recall the steps of a pivot:

- Step 1: Solve $A_B x_B = b$ for x_B .
- Step 2: Solve $A_B{}^T y = c_B$ for y.
- Step 3: Compute $\bar{c} = c A^T y$. If $\bar{c} \ge 0$, stop. Else find $\bar{c}_i < 0$

• Step 4: Solve
$$A_B d = A_j$$
 for d . This computes column $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{A_{1j}} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{A_{mj}} \end{pmatrix}$ of $\bar{A} = (A_B^{-1})A_N$.

- Step 5: Compute max ϵ s.t. $\epsilon d \leq \bar{b} = x_B$
- Step 6: Update solution to \hat{x} where $\hat{x_j} = \epsilon$. $\hat{x_B} = x_B \epsilon d$, Basis $\hat{B} = B \{i^*\} \cup \{j\}$

Let's now consider the total work involved:

- Step 1,2, and 4: need to solve $m \times m$ system of equations. : $O(m^3)$ (this is faster if A_B is sparse, lots of zeros)
- Step 5 and 6: check O(m) inequalities: O(m) work
- In Step 3, to compute any component of \bar{c} is O(m) work, but there are n of them. Overall, O(mn) times if we look through all entries.

Therefore, the overall work involved is $O(m^3 + mn)$ per pivot.

Suppose initially $A_B = I$. (If not true, we can multiply the constraints by A_B^{-1} to make it true). Suppose $B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots B_k$ be bases in a sequence of k pivots.

Recall that

$$A_{B_{i+1}} = A_{B_i} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & d \\ & & d \end{bmatrix}$$

called an eta matrix

Let E_i be i^{th} eta matrix. Given that this, is the case how hard is it to solve the systems

$$A_{B_1}x = b$$
 for x

$$A_{B_1}^T y = c_B$$
 for y

$$A_{B_1}d = A_j$$
 for d

We know that $A_{B_1}=E_1$ for E_1 an eta matrix. So $A_{B_1}x=b$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & d \\ & & 1 \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} & & \\ & x \\ & & \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} & & \\ & b \\ & & \end{bmatrix}$$

This implies

$$x_i + d_i x_j = b_i \quad (i \neq j)$$
 and $d_i x_j = b_i \quad (i = j)$.

Then to solve this system, set $x_j = \frac{b_j}{d_j}$, and then $x_i = b_i - \frac{d_i b_j}{d_j}$. Solving this then takes O(m) time. Now consider solving $A_{B_1}^T y = c_B$ for y. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & d & & & \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_B. \end{bmatrix}$$

This implies

$$y_i = c_i$$
 $i \neq j$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i y_i = c_j$,

which we can easily solve in O(m) time.

In the general case, we want to solve equations of the form $A_{B_k}x = b$. Note that we can solve $(A_{B_0}E_1E_2...E_k)x = b$ if we solve $(E_1E_2...E_k)x = b$. Let x_1 denote the product $E_2...E_kx$ (where we still don't know x). Then $E_1x_1 = b$. We can solve this system for x_1 in O(m) time. Now we iteratively solve $E_2...E_kx = x_1$ for x. Thus we can solve for x in O(km) time.

Hence in general, after k pivots, we can perform a pivot in O(km + mn) time. Note that this running time gets larger after we have performed a large number of pivots, so in practice, after some number of iterations, we recompute A_B^{-1} , make the current basis I, and start over again.