

Lecture 6

Lecturer: David P. Williamson

Scribe: Zach Rayfield

1 Separating Hyperplane Theorem

Recall the statements of Weierstrass's Theorem (without proof) and the Separating Hyperplane Theorem from the previous lecture.

Theorem 1 (Weierstrass) *Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed, nonempty and bounded set, and let $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on C . Then f attains a minimum on C .*

Theorem 2 (Separating Hyperplane) *Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed, nonempty and convex set. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \notin C$. Then there exists $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{R}^n, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a^T y > b$ and $a^T x < b$ for all $x \in C$.*

Proof: Define

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|x - y\|^2$$

$$\hat{C} = \{x \in C : \|q - y\| \geq \|q - x\|\}.$$

Last time we showed that \hat{C} is a closed, bounded, and non-empty set, so that we can apply Weierstrass' Theorem. Let z be the minimizer of f in \hat{C} . Note that for any $x \in C - \hat{C}$, $f(z) \leq f(q) < f(x)$, and therefore z minimizes f over all of C , since any $x \notin \hat{C}$ must have been further away from y than q .

Let $a := y - z$. Then $a \neq 0$, since $z \in C, y \notin C$. Let $b := \frac{1}{2}(a^T y + a^T z)$. Then,

$$0 < a^T a = a^T (y - z) = a^T y - a^T z$$

so then

$$a^T y > a^T z \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2a^T y > a^T y + a^T z \quad \Rightarrow \quad a^T y > \frac{1}{2}(a^T y + a^T z) = b.$$

It remains to show that $a^T x < b$ for all $x \in C$. Let $x_\lambda := (1 - \lambda)z + \lambda x \in C$ for $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. Since z minimizes f over C , $f(z) \leq f(x_\lambda)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}((1 - \lambda)z + \lambda x - y)^T((1 - \lambda)z + \lambda x - y) &= \frac{1}{2}(z - y + \lambda(x - z))^T(z - y + \lambda(x - z)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}(z - y)^T(z - y). \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}[2(z - y)^T \lambda(x - z) + \lambda^2(x - z)^T(x - z)] \geq 0$$

or

$$(z - y)^T(x - z) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(x - z)^T(x - z) \geq 0$$

or

$$a^T(z - x) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(x - z)^T(x - z) \geq 0$$

or

$$a^T(z-x) \geq -\frac{1}{2}\lambda(x-z)^T(x-z).$$

But we can take $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ arbitrarily small, so $a^T(z-x) \geq 0$ which implies $a^T z \geq a^T x$. Using the fact that $a^T z < a^T y$,

$$b = \frac{1}{2}(a^T y + a^T z) \geq \frac{1}{2}(2a^T z) = a^T z > a^T x.$$

□

2 The polar of a set

To get to the proof that polytopes are bounded polyhedra, we need to introduce one more concept.

Definition 1 If $S \subseteq \mathfrak{R}^n$, then its polar is $S^\circ = \{z \in \mathfrak{R}^n : z^T x \leq 1, \forall x \in S\}$.

Theorem 3 If C is a closed convex subset of \mathfrak{R}^n with $0 \in C$, then $C^{\circ\circ} := (C^\circ)^\circ = C$.

Proof:

- (\supseteq) If $x \in C$, we want to show that $x \in C^{\circ\circ}$, i.e., that $z^T x \leq 1$ for all $z \in C^\circ$. But $z \in C^\circ$ implies $z^T x \leq 1$, so this holds.
- (\subseteq) We will show that if $x \notin C$, then $x \notin C^{\circ\circ}$. If $x \notin C$, then by the Separating Hyperplane Theorem, there exists $0 \neq a \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ and $b \in \mathfrak{R}$ with $a^T x > b > a^T z$ for all $z \in C$. Since $0 \in C$, then $b > 0$. Let $\tilde{a} = a/b \neq 0$. Therefore $\tilde{a}^T x > 1 > \tilde{a}^T z$, for all $z \in C$. This implies $\tilde{a} \in C^\circ$. But $\tilde{a}^T x > 1$, so $x \notin C^{\circ\circ}$.

Therefore $C^{\circ\circ} = C$. □

Now we can prove our result, at least sort of. We'll assume that 0 is in the interior of the polytope. We claim that this can be done without loss of generality (and we'll leave it to the class to show on a problem set); this is because we can translate the polytope so that this is true if needed.

Theorem 4 If $Q \subseteq \mathfrak{R}^n$ is a polytope with 0 in the interior of Q , then Q is a (bounded) polyhedron.

Proof: Let $P = Q^\circ$. Then we know that $P^\circ = Q^{\circ\circ} = Q$. Since Q is a polytope, $Q = \text{conv}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ for some k finite vectors $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathfrak{R}^n$. Now $P = Q^\circ = \{z \in \mathfrak{R}^n : z^T v_i \leq 1, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}\}$, so $v_i^T z = z^T v_i \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. For any $x \in Q$, $x = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i v_i$ where $\lambda_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1$. Therefore

$$z^T x = z^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i v_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i (z^T v_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1.$$

Therefore

$$P = \{z \in \mathfrak{R}^n : v_i^T z \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, k\},$$

so P is a polyhedron. Q has 0 in its interior, so for some $\epsilon > 0$, all $x \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ with $\|x\| \leq \epsilon$ lie in Q . If $z \in P, z \neq 0$, then

$$x = \epsilon \frac{z}{\|z\|} \in Q.$$

since $\|x\| = \epsilon$. Then since $P = Q^\circ$,

$$x^T z \leq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\epsilon z^T z}{\|z\|} \leq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|z\| \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}.$$

Hence P is a bounded polyhedron. By our previous result, $P = Q^\circ$ is a polytope. And from what we just proved, this implies that P° is a bounded polyhedron, which means that $(Q^\circ)^\circ = Q$ is a bounded polyhedron. \square