

Today, we will cover a few important facts about symmetric matrices and look at the problem of minimizing the maximum eigenvalue of a matrix as an SDP problem in dual form.

First, we recall the primal and dual forms of SDP:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & C \bullet X \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathcal{A}X = b \quad (\text{i.e., } A_i \bullet X = b_i, \forall i = 1, \dots, m) \quad (P) \\ & X \succeq 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & b^T y \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathcal{A}^*y + S = C \quad (\text{where } \mathcal{A}^*y = \sum_i y_i A_i) \quad (D) \\ & S \succeq 0. \end{aligned}$$

## Everything you ever want to know about symmetric matrices

**Fact 1** If  $P, Q \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} P \bullet Q &:= \text{trace}(P^T Q) = \text{trace}(Q P^T) \\ &= \text{trace}(Q^T P) = \text{trace}(P Q^T) \\ &= \sum_i \sum_j p_{ij} q_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

even though  $P^T Q$  and  $Q P^T$  have different sizes ( $n \times n$  and  $m \times m$  respectively).

**Fact 2**  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}^*$  are adjoint mappings:

$$(\mathcal{A}X)^T y = (\mathcal{A}^*y) \bullet X.$$

**Fact 3** If  $P$  is a nonsingular  $n \times n$  real matrix, then  $U$  is psd (respectively, pd) if and only if  $PUP^T$  is psd (resp., pd).

**Fact 4** Suppose  $Q \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$  is an orthogonal matrix; then

$$(QUQ^T) \bullet (QVQ^T) = U \bullet V.$$

More generally, if  $P \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$  is nonsingular, then

$$(P^{-T}UP^{-1}) \bullet (PVP^T) = U \bullet V.$$

(Generalization of  $(Q^T u)^T (Q^T v) = (P^{-1}u)^T (Pv) = u^T v$ .)

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned}
(P^{-T}UP^{-1}) \bullet (PVP^T) &= \text{trace} (P^{-T}UP^{-1}PVP^T), \text{ by definition} \\
&= \text{trace} (P^{-T}UV P^T) \\
&= \text{trace} (UV P^T P^{-T}), \text{ by Fact 1} \\
&= \text{trace} (UV) = U \bullet V.
\end{aligned}$$

□

*Note.* Facts 3 and 4 show that  $(P)$  is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned}
\min \quad & (P^{-T}CP^{-1}) \bullet \hat{X} \\
\text{s.t.} \quad & (P^{-T}A_iP^{-1}) \bullet \hat{X} = b_i, \forall i = 1, \dots, m \\
& \hat{X} \succeq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This problem arises from the change of variables  $\hat{X} = PXP^T$ . Thus the primal variable  $X$  transforms in a different way from the data  $C$  and the  $A_i$ 's and the dual slack matrix  $S$  transforms in the same way as the data.

**Fact 5** *If  $Y \in \mathbb{M}^n$ , then there are an orthogonal  $Q \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$  and a diagonal  $\Lambda \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$  such that  $U = Q\Lambda Q^T$ .*

*Notation.* For a diagonal matrix  $\Lambda \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$  whose diagonal entries are  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ , we write:

$$\Lambda = \text{Diag}(\lambda),$$

where  $\lambda$  is the vector  $(\lambda_1; \dots; \lambda_n)$ . We also use the notation

$$\text{diag}(U) := (u_{11}; \dots; u_{nn}),$$

for any matrix  $U \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ . This is the adjoint mapping of  $\text{Diag}$ .

If  $Q = [q_1, \dots, q_n]$  (that is,  $q_i$  is its  $i$ th column vector), then

$$UQ = Q\Lambda = [\lambda_1 q_1, \dots, \lambda_n q_n].$$

Hence, looking at the  $i$ th column of  $UQ$  and  $Q\Lambda$ ,

$$Uq_i = \lambda_i q_i.$$

So, the  $q_i$ 's and  $\lambda_i$ 's are the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of  $U$ . We call  $Q\Lambda Q^T$  the *eigenvalue decomposition* of  $U$ .

We will usually assume that  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ , and then

$$\lambda =: \lambda(U), \quad \Lambda =: \Lambda(U).$$

**Fact 6** *The following are norms on  $\mathbb{M}^n$ :*

- The 2-norm/operator norm,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|U\|_2 &:= \max\{\|Uz\|_2 \mid \|z\| = 1\} \\
&= \|\Lambda(U)\|_2 \\
&= \max\{|\lambda_i(U)|\} \\
&= \|\lambda(U)\|_\infty;
\end{aligned}$$

- the Frobenius norm,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|U\|_F &:= (U \bullet U)^{1/2} \\
&= (\Lambda(U) \bullet \Lambda(U))^{1/2} \\
&= \|\lambda(U)\|_2 \\
&= \|\text{vec}(U)\|_2 = \|\text{svec}(U)\|_2,
\end{aligned}$$

- the nuclear norm or trace norm,

$$\|U\|_* := \|\lambda(U)\|_1.$$

*Note.* The following observation motivates why  $\|\cdot\|_*$  is called the trace norm:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{trace}(U) &= \sum_i u_{ii} = I \bullet U = I \bullet \Lambda(U) \\
&= \sum_i \lambda_i(U).
\end{aligned}$$

**Fact 7 (Theorem 1)** For  $U \in \mathbb{M}^n$ , the following are equivalent:

- $U$  is psd (resp., pd);
- $z^T U z \geq 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbf{R}^n$  (resp.,  $z^T U z > 0$  for all nonzero  $z \in \mathbf{R}^n$ );
- $\lambda(u) \geq 0$  (resp.,  $\lambda(u) > 0$ ); and
- $U = P^T P$  for some  $P \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$  (resp., for some nonsingular  $P \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ )

**Proof:** (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b) by definition. For (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c), note that if  $U = Q\Lambda Q^T$ , then  $z^T U z = z^T Q\Lambda Q^T z = \sum_i \lambda_i \tilde{z}_i$ , where  $\tilde{z} = Q^T z$ . Next, (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) because  $z^T U z = z^T P^T P z = \|Pz\|_2^2 \geq 0$  for all  $z$ . For the reverse, let  $U = Q\Lambda Q^T$  and let  $P = Q\Lambda^{1/2}Q^T$ , where  $\Lambda^{1/2} := \text{Diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_n})$ .  $\square$

**Example 1 (Eigenvalue optimization)** Suppose that  $U(y)$  depends linearly (affinely) on  $y \in \mathbf{R}^m$  and we want to choose  $y$  to minimize the maximum eigenvalue of  $U(y)$ . Introduce  $\eta \in \mathbf{R}$  and note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_{\max}(U) \leq \eta \\
\Leftrightarrow &\lambda_{\max}(U - \eta I) \leq 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow &\lambda_{\min}(\eta I - U) \geq 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow &\eta I - U \succeq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So, the problem of finding  $\min(\lambda_{\max}(U(y)))$  can be formulated as the following SDP in dual form:

$$\begin{aligned} & - \max && -\eta \\ & \text{s.t.} && -\eta I + U(y) \preceq 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 1** Every psd matrix  $U$  has a (unique) psd square root  $U^{1/2}$ , with  $U^{1/2}U^{1/2} = U$ . Every pd matrix  $U$  is nonsingular, and its inverse is pd.

**Proof:** If  $U = Q\Lambda Q^T$ , then set:

$$U^{1/2} := Q\Lambda^{1/2}Q^T,$$

where  $\Lambda^{1/2}$  is as in the previous proof. If  $U$  is pd, then  $\Lambda$  has positive diagonal entries, so

$$\Lambda^{-1} = \text{Diag}(\lambda_1^{-1}; \dots; \lambda_n^{-1})$$

exists, and  $Q\Lambda^{-1}Q^T$  is the inverse of  $U$ , and is pd. (We won't prove uniqueness.)  $\square$

**Corollary 2** If  $0 \neq u \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , then  $uu^T$  is psd. (And all psd rank-one matrices are of this form—see HW1.)

**Corollary 3**  $\mathbb{M}_+^n$  and  $\mathbb{M}_{++}^n$  are convex cones.  $\mathbb{M}_+^n$  is closed and pointed (that is, contains no one-dimensional subspaces) and its interior is  $\mathbb{M}_{++}^n$ .

**Proof:**  $\mathbb{M}_+^n$  is defined by the homogeneous linear (in  $U$ ) inequalities

$$z^T U z \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Hence, we see that  $\mathbb{M}_+^n$  is a closed convex cone.

$\mathbb{M}_{++}^n$  is defined by the strict homogeneous linear inequalities

$$z^T U z > 0, \quad \forall 0 \neq z \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

so  $\mathbb{M}_{++}^n$  is a convex cone.

If  $U \in (\mathbb{M}_+^n) \cap (-\mathbb{M}_+^n)$ , then  $\lambda(U) \geq 0$  and  $\lambda(U) \leq 0$ . So,  $U$  must be 0. This shows that  $\mathbb{M}_+^n$  is pointed.

If  $U \in \mathbb{M}_{++}^n$ , then  $\hat{\lambda} := \lambda_{\min}(U) > 0$ . Let  $V \in \mathbb{M}^n$  have  $\|V\|_2 \leq \hat{\lambda}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} z^T(U + V)z &= z^T((U - \hat{\lambda}I) + \hat{\lambda}I + V)z \\ &\geq \hat{\lambda}z^Tz + z^TVz \\ &\geq \hat{\lambda} - \hat{\lambda} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for  $\|z\|_2 = 1$ . So,  $\mathbb{M}_{++}^n \subseteq \text{int}(\mathbb{M}_+^n)$ . Now, suppose that  $U \notin \mathbb{M}_{++}^n$ . Then, there exists a nonzero vector  $z$  such that  $z^T U z \leq 0$ . But then

$$z^T(U - \epsilon z z^T)z \leq 0 - \epsilon(z^T z)^2 < 0$$

for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , which shows that  $U \notin \text{int}(\mathbb{M}_+^n)$ . Hence,  $\text{int}(\mathbb{M}_+^n) = \mathbb{M}_{++}^n$ .  $\square$

**Fact 8** If  $U \succeq 0$  (resp.,  $U \succ 0$ ), then  $u_{jj} \geq 0$  (resp.,  $u_{jj} > 0$ ) for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , and if  $u_{jj} = 0$ , then  $u_{jk} = 0$  for all  $k$ .

**Fact 9** If  $U \succeq 0$ , then  $PUP^T$  is psd for all  $P \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ . If  $U \succ 0$  and  $P$  has full row rank, then  $PUP^T \succ 0$ . Hence, if  $P$  is a permutation matrix, we see that every principal rearrangement of  $U$  is psd (resp., pd) if  $U$  is psd (resp., if  $U$  is pd).

If

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} \\ U_{21} & U_{22} \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0, \text{ (resp., } \succ 0),$$

then  $U_{11} \succeq 0$  (resp.,  $\succ 0$ ), and similarly for every principal submatrix of  $U$ .