## Network problems and the Network Simplex Algorithm

## (Chapter 7 of Bertsimas-Tsitsiklis, Chapter 19 of Chvatal).

A directed graph is a pair  $G = (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{A})$ , where  $\mathcal{N}$  (usually  $\{1, 2, 3, .., n\}$ ) is a finite set of *nodes* and  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}$  is a set of *arcs*. Loops (arcs (i, i)), but not parallel edges (two arcs (i, j)) (easy to adapt), and opposite arcs ((i, j) and (j, i)) are allowed. Arc (i, j) is from i to j; i is its tail and j is its head; and i and j are its endpoints.

A walk in G is a sequence  $i_0, e_1, i_1, e_2, ..., e_k, i_k$ , where each  $i_l \in \mathcal{N}$  and each  $e_l \in \mathcal{A}$ , with either  $e_l = (i_{l-1}, i_l)$  (a forward arc) or  $e_l = (i_l, i_{l-1})$ (a reverse arc). The walk is from  $i_0$  to  $i_k$ . A walk is a path if all the  $i_l$ 's are distinct. It is a cycle if  $i_1, i_2, ..., i_k$  are distinct, but  $i_0 = i_k, k \ge 1$ , and if  $k = 2, e_1 \neq e_2$ .

A walk, path, or cycle is *directed* if all its arcs are forward. G is *connected* if there is a walk (equivalently a path) from every node to every other node. G is *acyclic* if it has no cycle.

 $G' = (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{A}')$  with  $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  is a (spanning) subgraph of G. A graph is a tree if it is connected and acyclic. A spanning subgraph of G that is itself a tree is called a *spanning* tree of G.

For  $j \in \mathcal{N}$ , the outdegree of j is  $|\{k \in \mathcal{N} : (j,k) \in \mathcal{A}\}|$  and indegree of j is  $|\{i \in \mathcal{N} : (i,j) \in \mathcal{A}\}|$ . The degree is the sum of these.

A *network* is a directed graph G together with additional data associated to the nodes and arcs. We will consider a vector b indexed by the nodes  $(b_i$  is the net supply at node i) and a vector c of costs (and possibly u of capacities) indexed by  $\mathcal{A}(c_{ij})$  is the cost of arc (i, j)).

Let  $\mathbf{R}^{\mathcal{A}} := \{ w = (w_{ij})_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}}, \text{ all } w_{ij} \in \mathbf{R} \}$  (this can also be thought of as all functions from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathbf{R}$ ). So  $c, u \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathcal{A}}, b \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathcal{N}} (= \mathbf{R}^n \text{ if } \mathcal{N} = \{1, 2..., n\}).$ 

A feasible flow is a vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{A}}$  satisfying

$$\sum_{k:(j,k)\in\mathcal{A}} x_{jk} - \sum_{i:(i,j)\in\mathcal{A}} x_{ij} = b_j$$

for all  $j \in \mathcal{N}, x \ge 0$  (or  $0 \le x \le u$ ) (flow conservation at node j).

 $j \in \mathcal{N}$  is a source if  $b_j > 0$ , a sink if  $b_j < 0$ , and a transshipment node if  $b_j = 0$ . We want a feasible flow with minimal cost

$$c^T x = \sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{A}} c_{ij} x_{ij}.$$

Associated with G is its node-arc incidence matrix A with rows indexed by  $\mathcal{N}$  and columns by  $\mathcal{A}$  with

$$a_{i,(j,k)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \notin \{j,k\} & \text{or } j = k \\ +1, & \text{if } i = j \neq k \\ -1, & \text{if } i = k \neq j. \end{cases}$$

If G has n nodes and m arcs, A is  $n \times m$ , and each column has 2 nonzeroes (if not a loop), a +1 at its tail row and a -1 at its head row (similarly, such a matrix A defines a directed graph G). Then feasible flows are  $\{x : Ax = b, x \ge 0\}$ .

Note: the sum of all the rows of A is the zero vector. So rank(A) < n = the number of rows, and also  $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}} b_j = 0$  is a necessary condition for the existence of a feasible flow. Henceforth, assume  $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}} b_j = 0$ .

## Examples

a) Shortest path.

Set  $b_j = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{at the initial node} \\ -1, & \text{at the final node} \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$ 

b) Max flow.

Try to maximize the flow from source s to a sink t, with capacity restrictions.

The max flow problem can be reformulated as a network flow problem, by adding the arc (t, s) with a cost  $c_{ts} = -1$  and putting cost 0 on all other arcs, with  $b_i = 0$  for all *i* and upper bounds equal to the capacity on all arcs except (t, s).

c) Transportation problem.

$$\mathcal{N} = \{1, 2, ..., m, 1', 2', ..., n'\},$$
$$\mathcal{A} = \{(i, j') : 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n\}, G \text{ is bipartite},$$
$$b_i = s_i > 0, 1 \le i \le m,$$
$$b_j = -d_j < 0, 1 \le j \le n.$$

We want  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j$ . If m = n and all  $s_i$ 's,  $d_j$ 's are equal to 1, this is the assignment problem. If we have a transportation problem where the total flow out of node i is at most  $s_i$  and the total flow into node j' is at least  $d_j$ , where the total supply exceeds the total demand and all costs  $c_{ij}$  are nonnegative, we can make this into a regular transportation problem by introducing a dummy demand of  $d_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} s_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j$ .



Figure 1: A directed graph. 1, (1, 2), 2, (2, 4), 4 is a path from 1 to 4. 1, (1, 2), 2, (2, 4), 4, (4, 1), 1 is a directed cycle; so is 5, (5, 5), 5 using the loop (5, 5). 1, (1, 2), 2, (2, 4), 4, (3, 4), 3, (3, 1), 1 is a not directed cycle. (3, 4) is a reverse arc.



Figure 2: A spanning tree.



Figure 3: A network corresponding to this directed graph with the loop omitted; a feasible flow is shown.



Figure 4: A directed graph corresponding to a transportation problem with m suppliers and n consumers. If the total demand is less than total supply, then we can create a dummy sink 0' to absorb the difference between the two. The transportation costs for the units sent to 0' should be set to zero. Using the same argument, we can deal with network problems with inequalities where the total demand is less than the total supply.

1. An undirected graph is a pair  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E})$ , where  $\mathcal{N}$  is a finite set of nodes and  $\mathcal{E}$  a set of (unordered) pairs  $\{i, j\}$  of nodes, called edges. The edge  $\{i, j\}$  is said to be incident on i and j. The node-edge incidence matrix A of the graph has rows corresponding to each node and columns corresponding to each edge, with a +1 if the edge is incident on the node and a 0 otherwise.

a) Consider the *fractional node-covering problem*: find a set of nonnegative weights for the edges so that the total weight is minimized while the sum of the weights of the edges incident on each node is at least one. Show that this can be formulated as a linear programming problem whose coefficient matrix is the node-edge incidence matrix of the graph.

b) Show an example (it can be very small!) where the optimal solution is not integer-valued.

c) Suppose now that the graph is bipartite:  $\mathcal{N}$  can be partitioned into  $\mathcal{N}_1$  and  $\mathcal{N}_2$  such that each edge is incident on one node in  $\mathcal{N}_1$  and one in  $\mathcal{N}_2$ . Show that the linear programming problem in (a) can be written as a network flow problem and hence that it has an integer-valued optimal solution.

2. Consider the dual simplex algorithm for a network flow problem. So suppose you have the basic solution  $\bar{x}$  corresponding to some spanning tree, and all reduced costs  $\bar{c}_{jk}$  are nonnegative, but some basic variable, say the *p*th  $\bar{x}_{hi}$ , is negative. So we want to remove this variable from the basis, i.e., remove the arc (h, i) from the tree.

a) What happens to the spanning tree when arc (h, i) is removed?

b) In the dual simplex method, we want to choose some  $x_q$  to enter the basis where  $\bar{a}_{pq}$  is negative. In our case, what arcs (j,k) have  $\bar{a}_{p,(j,k)}$  negative, and what is  $\bar{a}_{p,(j,k)}$  for such arcs?

c) Which arc is chosen by the minimum ratio test to enter the basis?

3. a) Show that a network flow problem can have a degenerate basic solution only if  $\sum_{i \in I} b_i = 0$  for some proper subset I of nodes.

b) Consider a transportation problem with supplies  $s_i$  and demands  $d_j$ , all integer. Suppose there are *m* sources and *n* sinks, and we modify the supplies and demands as follows:  $\hat{s}_i := ns_i$ for i < m,  $\hat{s}_m := ns_m + n$ ;  $\hat{d}_j = nd_j + 1$  for all *j*. Show that the new transportation problem has all basic solutions nondegenerate.

c) Suppose you have an optimal basic feasible solution for the modified problem, corresponding to a particular spanning tree. Show that the same spanning tree gives an optimal basic feasible solution (possibly degenerate) to the original problem. (Hint: express each basic variable in terms of the supplies and demands in part of the tree.)