

OR630 - Homework Solutions 1

Note: Special thanks to Tim, Dan, and Mingbo who typed the answers and allowed me to share them.

3.5P 1. (a) Let e be the vector of all ones. Write $w = u - \alpha e$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u \geq 0$, $\alpha \geq 0$. The u_i correspond to the w_i , but are nonnegative, and there is one additional (nonnegative) variable α . To see that any $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be written this way, consider an arbitrary $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $w \geq 0$, then set $\alpha = 0$ and $u = w$. Otherwise, set $\alpha = -\min_i w_i$, and $u = w + \alpha e$. For any i we have $w_i \geq -\alpha$ by our choice of α , so $u_i = w_i + \alpha \geq 0$.

(b) Again, let e be the vector of all ones. Write the set of equality constraints $A_w^T y = c_w$ as the following inequality constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} A_w^T y &\leq c_w \\ \sum_i (c_w)_i &\leq \sum_i (A_w^T y)_i \end{aligned}$$

3.5P It's clear that these are equivalent to the equality constraints. There is only one additional constraint (the final one) and it can be rewritten as $e^T c_w \leq e^T A_w^T y$. Then we can rewrite the LP problem as

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & b^T y \\ & A_w^T y \leq c_w, \\ & -e^T A_w^T y \leq -e^T c_w, \\ & A_x^T y \leq c_x \end{aligned}$$

Given this formulation, it's easy to see that this technique is the "dual" of the technique in (a). We take the dual of this LP, using $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, respectively, to weight the constraints of the original LP. This gives us the dual LP

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c_w^T u - \alpha c_w^T e + c_x^T x \\ & A_w u - \alpha A_w e + A_x x = b \\ & u, \alpha, x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which is the LP from part (a), but with w replaced by $u - \alpha e$, and all nonnegative variables.

c) Consider a more general primal problem (P)

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x,w,u} \quad & c_x^T x + c_w^T w + c_u^T u \\ & A_x x + A_w w + A_u u = b \\ & \bar{A}_x x + \bar{A}_w w + \bar{A}_u u \geq \bar{b} \\ & A'_x x + A'_w w + A'_u u \leq b' \\ & x \geq 0, u \leq 0, w \text{ unrestricted.} \end{aligned}$$

3P

We can take arbitrary multiples of the equality constraints, nonnegative multiples of the greater-than-or-equal-to constraints and the non-positive multiples of the less-than-or-equal-to constraints to get

$$(A_x^T y + \bar{A}_x^T z + A_x'^T v)x + (A_w^T y + \bar{A}_w^T z + A_w'^T v)w + (A_u^T y + \bar{A}_u^T z + A_u'^T v)u \geq b^T y + \bar{b}^T z + b'^T v$$

as long as $z \geq 0$, $v \leq 0$. Since $x \geq 0$, $u \leq 0$ and w unrestricted, then we need $A_x^T y + \bar{A}_x^T z + A_x'^T v \leq c_x$, $A_w^T y + \bar{A}_w^T z + A_w'^T v = c_w$ and $A_u^T y + \bar{A}_u^T z + A_u'^T v \geq c_u$, so that whenever (y, z, v) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} A_x^T y + \bar{A}_x^T z + A_x'^T v &\leq c_x \\ A_w^T y + \bar{A}_w^T z + A_w'^T v &= c_w \\ A_u^T y + \bar{A}_u^T z + A_u'^T v &\geq c_u \\ z &\geq 0, v \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &c_x^T x + c_w^T w + c_u^T u \\ &\geq (A_x^T y + \bar{A}_x^T z + A_x'^T v)x + (A_w^T y + \bar{A}_w^T z + A_w'^T v)w + (A_u^T y + \bar{A}_u^T z + A_u'^T v)u \\ &\geq b^T y + \bar{b}^T z + b'^T v \end{aligned}$$

for any feasible solution to (P). Then the best possible bound is the solution to the dual problem (D),

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{y, z, v} \quad &b^T y + \bar{b}^T z + b'^T v \\ &A_x^T y + \bar{A}_x^T z + A_x'^T v \leq c_x \\ &A_w^T y + \bar{A}_w^T z + A_w'^T v = c_w \\ &A_u^T y + \bar{A}_u^T z + A_u'^T v \geq c_u \\ &z \geq 0, v \leq 0, y \text{ unrestricted} \end{aligned}$$

In fact, the procedure shown above is the weak duality. Then by examining the weak duality as shown, we can conclude that for every non-positive primal variable there is a greater-than-or-equal-to dual constraint; for every less-than-or-equal-to primal constraint there is a non-positive dual variable.

(10 points)

II. PROBLEM 2

Let $e \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector of 1. Then we have $\|Aw - b\|_\infty \leq \eta$ if and only if $e\eta - (Aw - b) \geq 0$ and $e\eta - (b - Aw) \geq 0$. Therefore, the problem of minimizing $\|Aw - b\|_\infty$ is equivalent to minimizing η constrained by $e\eta - (Aw - b) \geq 0$ and $e\eta - (b - Aw) \geq 0$. Therefore, we can formulate the LP problem in primal form (P) as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^n, \eta \in \mathbb{R}} \quad & \eta \\ & e\eta + Aw \geq b, \\ & e\eta - Aw \geq -b, \\ & \eta \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then the dual of (P) is

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & b^T y - b^T z \\ & e^T y + e^T z \leq 1 \\ & A_w^T y - A_w^T z = 0 \\ & y, z \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

After simplification by setting $\lambda = y - z$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad & b^T \lambda \\ & \|\lambda\|_1 \leq 1 \\ & A^T \lambda = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which involves 1-norm.

Question 3:

The dual of this problem is simply $\max\{b^T y : A^T y = c\}$. To show strong duality, there are three cases we must consider:

i Neither problem has a feasible solution. In this case neither problem has an optimal solution either.

ii One problem has a feasible solution but the other does not. Without loss of generality let us assume that it is the primal problem that has a feasible solution. Then we know $A^T y \neq c$ for all y . Thus c is not contained in the column space of A^T .

The feasible region for the primal problem must be an \downarrow affine subspace of some dimension greater than 1 (since A does not span \mathbb{R}^n), which c is not contained in. Hence there is a component of c that is orthogonal to the feasible region of the primal problem. Since this is a \downarrow affine subspace, this means that we can move x in some direction indefinitely where the objective function is increasing. Therefore the objective function is unbounded and there is no optimal solution.

iii Both problems have feasible solutions. In this case if x and y are the feasible solutions then

$$b^T y = y^T b = y^T (Ax) = (y^T A)x = (A^T y)^T x = c^T x,$$

so the two solutions must be equal.

So any feasible solution is optimal.

4. Let S^n denote the space of real symmetric $n \times n$ matrices. This is a finite-dimensional vector space: indeed, by considering just the upper-triangular entries or by taking an appropriate basis, it can be viewed as isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)/2}$. A matrix $A \in S^n$ is called *positive semidefinite* if $x^T A x \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Show that the set of positive semidefinite real symmetric $n \times n$ matrices is a convex cone containing the origin. You can do this directly, or by showing that it is an (infinite) intersection of half-spaces corresponding to hyperplanes through the origin.

To show that the set of positive semidefinite real symmetric $n \times n$ matrices is a convex cone we simply must show that the set is closed under finite positive linear combinations. Let $A_1, \dots, A_n \in S^n$ be positive semidefinite and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \geq 0$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i A_i \right) x &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x^T \lambda_i A_i \right) x \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x^T \lambda_i A_i x \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x^T A_i x \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i c_i \right), \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where the c_i are nonnegative constants, which we know to be true by the definition of positive semidefinite. Since the λ_i are positive, we know this sum must be nonnegative, thus any positive linear combination of positive semidefinite matrices is also positive semidefinite. Furthermore if $A = 0$ then $x^T A x = 0 \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, so the set contains the origin.

We also know that if $A_i \in S^n$ are symmetric, then

$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i A_i$ is also symmetric.

$\Rightarrow \forall A_i \in S^n \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i A_i \in S^n$ for $\lambda_i \geq 0$.

Also note that each set $\{A: x^T A x \geq 0\}$ is a halfspace with 0 in its boundary, so a convex cone, and the set of psd matrices is exactly the intersection of all these.