Mathematical Programming II
ORIE 6310 Spring 2014
Scribe: James Dong

Lecture 20 April 10, 2014

# 1 Subgradient Method

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function. Recall that the subdifferential of f is the set of subgradients:

$$\partial f(x) := \left\{ g \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(y) \ge f(x) + g^T(y - x), \ \forall \ y \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}.$$

Assume that at each x, we can compute a *single* subgradient g = g(x), e.g., as in the Lagrangian relaxation approach to hard problems.

While the subdifferential tells us a lot about the behavior of f around x, a single subgradient doesn't reveal very much.

Consider the directional derivative of f at x in direction d:

$$f'(x;d) := \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{f(x + \lambda d) - f(x)}{\lambda} =: q(x, d, \lambda) \right\}.$$

Note that because q is nondecreasing in  $\lambda$ , the limit exists and  $f'(x;d) = \inf_{\lambda>0} \{q(x,d,\lambda)\}$ 

Note also that  $q(x, d, \lambda) \ge g^T d \ \forall \ g \in \partial f(x)$ .

Hence,  $f'(x;d) \geq g^T d \ \forall \ g \in \partial f(x)$ , so that  $f'(x;d) \geq \max \{g^T d : g \in \partial f(x)\}$ 

In fact, it can be shown (see Borwein and Lewis, "Convex Analysis and Nonlinear Optimization: Theory and Examples") that

$$f'(x;d) = \max \{g^T d : g \in \partial f(x)\}.$$

So in particular, if  $g \in \partial f(x)$  and  $g \neq 0$ , then g is an ascent direction for f at x since  $f'(x;g) \geq g^T g > 0$ . However, -g may not be a descent direction:  $f'(x;-g) = \max\{(-g)^T h : h \in \partial f(x)\} \geq -g^T g < 0$  might be positive. See Figure 2 of Lecture 19.

**Theorem 1** x is a global minimizer of f if and only if  $0 \in \partial f(x)$ .

#### **Proof:**

The "if" component is trivial through the definition of a subgradient.

We prove the "only if" portion through contradiction, "constructively." Suppose 0 is not in the closed convex set  $\partial f(x)$ . Then there is some  $0 \neq d \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $0^T d = 0 > \max\{g^T d: g \in \partial f(x)\} = f'(x;d)$ .

So x is not even a local minimizer since d is a descent direction.  $\Box$ 

Corollary 1 If  $0 \notin \partial f(x)$ , then  $d = -\arg\min\{\|g\| : g \in \partial f(x)\}$  is a descent direction for f at x.

## 2 Two Alternatives

- 1. Try to find more points in  $\partial f(x)$  until we can get a descent direction:
  - (a) Bundle methods (Lemaréchal, 1970s),
  - (b) Gradient Sampling Methods (Burke, Lewis, Overton).
- 2. Move in the direction -g even if it is not a descent direction.

We will show how to choose a very general step size rule that is independent of f.

### General Subgradient Algorithm (N.Z. Shor, 1960s)

Choose  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and a sequence  $\{\lambda_k\}$  of positive scalars. At iteration k, compute a subgradient  $g_k$  of f at  $x_k$ . Stop if  $g_k = 0$ . Otherwise, set  $x_{k+1} := x_k - \lambda_k \frac{g_k}{\|g_k\|}$ .

**Theorem 2** (B.T. Polyak, 1967) Suppose  $X_* := \{x_* \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) \ge f(x_*) \ \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \ne \emptyset$ . Then, as long as  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k = \infty$  and  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k^2 < \infty$ , for any  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\liminf_k f(x_k) = \min_k f(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $\{x_k\}$  generated by the subgradient method.

**Proof:** Choose any  $x_* \in X_*$  and look at  $||x_k - x_*||$  before and after a step.

$$||x_{k+1} - x_*||^2 - ||x_k - x_*||^2 = ||x_{k+1}||^2 - ||x_k||^2 - 2x_{k+1}^T x_* + 2x_k^T x_*$$

$$= ||x_{k+1} - x_k||^2 + 2(x_{k+1} - x_k)^T (x_k - x_*)$$

$$= \lambda_k^2 - 2\lambda_k \frac{g_k}{||g_k||}^T (x_k - x_*).$$

By the subgradient inequality,  $g_k^T(x_k - x_*) \ge f_k - f_* \ge 0$ , where  $f_{\bullet} := f(x_{\bullet})$ .

So 
$$||x_{\ell} - x_*||^2 - ||x_0 - x_*||^2 \le \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} \lambda_k^2$$
.

Hence,  $\{x_\ell\}$  lies in some bounded set since  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k^2 < \infty$ . So all  $\|g_\ell\|$ 's are uniformly bounded (true but not obvious: proof omitted).

So  $||g_k|| \leq \Gamma \ \forall \ k$  for some  $\Gamma$ .

Now assume  $f_k \geq f_* + \varepsilon$ , for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\forall k \geq K_1$ . Also,  $\lambda_k \downarrow 0$  so  $\lambda_k \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\Gamma} \forall k \geq K_2 \geq K_1$ . Then for  $k \geq K_2$ ,

$$||x_{k+1} - x_*||^2 - ||x_k - x_*||^2 \le \lambda_k^2 - \frac{2\lambda_k}{||g_k||} \varepsilon \le \frac{\varepsilon \lambda_k}{\Gamma} - \frac{2\varepsilon \lambda_k}{\Gamma} = -\frac{\varepsilon \lambda_k}{\Gamma}.$$

So 
$$||x_{\ell} - x_*||^2 - ||x_{K_2} - x_*||^2 \le -\frac{\varepsilon}{\Gamma} \sum_{K_2}^{\ell-1} \lambda_k$$
.  
So  $||x_{\ell} - x_*||^2 \le ||x_{K_2} - x_*||^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\Gamma} \sum_{K_2}^{\ell-1} \lambda_k \to -\infty$  as  $\ell \to \infty$ ..

We have obtained our contradiction and  $\liminf f_k = f_*$  as claimed.  $\square$ 

To examine the complexity of the subgradient method, assume  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex and we want to minimize f on B(0,R). Assume max  $\{f(x): x \in B(0,R)\}$ —min  $\{f(x): x \in B(0,R)\}$   $\leq$  1

We will derive an algorithm with complexity  $O(\varepsilon^{-2})$ . Note that this is independent of n! This does not contradict our earlier lower bound of  $\Omega\left(n\ln\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$  because  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently large.

This bound is valid for all  $\varepsilon < 1/2$  for  $G = [-1, +1]^n$  but it is only valid for  $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{n^3}$  for G = B(0, R).

The algorithm uses step size  $\lambda_k = \varepsilon R$ .

### Algorithm

Start with  $x_0 = 0$ .

At iteration k, if  $||x_k|| > R$ , choose  $v_k = x_k$ ; otherwise compute a subgradient  $g_k$  of f at  $x_k$ , and stop if  $g_k = 0$ . Otherwise  $v_k = g_k$ .

Set 
$$x_{k+1} := x_k - \varepsilon R \frac{v_k}{\|v_k\|}$$
.

**Theorem 3** Using this algorithm,  $\min_{0 \le k \le \ell} f(x_k) \le \min \{ f(x) : x \in B(0,R) \} + \varepsilon$  within  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}$  iterations.

Proof of the theorem to follow in Lecture 21.

OR 631: Mathematical Programming II. Spring 2014.

Homework Set 4. Due: Tuesday April 29.

1. Suppose we wish to solve the problem

$$\min f(x), \quad h_i(x) \le 0, i = 1, \dots, m, \quad x \in B(0, R),$$

and suppose that f is convex and has range at most 1 on B(0,R), that each  $h_i$  is convex and is at most 1 on B(0,R), and that the problem has an optimal solution  $x_*$ .

Consider the following algorithm, given  $\epsilon > 0$ . Start with  $x_0 = 0$ . At iteration k, if  $||x_k|| > R$ , choose  $v_k = x_k$ . If for some i,  $h_i(x_k) > \epsilon$ , choose any such i and set  $v_k$  to be a subgradient of  $h_i$  at  $x_k$ . If  $h_i(x_k) \leq \epsilon$  for all i, set  $v_k$  to be a subgradient of f at  $x_k$ . If  $v_k = 0$ , stop; otherwise set  $x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{\epsilon R}{||v_k||} v_k$ .

Show that within  $\epsilon^{-2}$  iterations, the method will give an  $x_k$  with  $h_i(x_k) \leq \epsilon$  for all i and  $f(x_k) \leq f(x_*) + \epsilon$ .

2. Assume that f is a twice continuously differentiable convex function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and that for every x, the eigenvalues of  $\nabla^2 f(x)$  are bounded between  $\ell > 0$  and  $L < \infty$ . Show that, for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) + \frac{\ell}{2} ||y - x||^2 \le f(y) \le f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) + \frac{L}{2} ||y - x||^2.$$

3. a) Suppose that g is a convex function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Show that, for every  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and L > 0, the problem

$$(P(z))$$
  $\min g(x) + \frac{L}{2}||x - z||^2$ 

has an optimal solution.

b) Assume that, for any  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , you can solve (P(z)) efficiently. Show how you can solve the problem

$$\min f(x_k) + \nabla f(x_k)^T (x - x_k) + \frac{L}{2} ||x - x_k||^2 + g(x)$$

efficiently. What is the solution if  $g \equiv 0$ ?

c) Find the solution to (P(z)) explicitly if  $g(x) := ||x||_1$ .