## Problem Set 8

Due Date: October 31, 2014

- 1. Consider the dual simplex algorithm for an uncapacitated network flow problem as described in the recitation on October 8. Suppose you have a basic solution  $\bar{f}$  corresponding to some spanning tree, and all the reduced costs  $\bar{c}_{(i,j)}$  are nonnegative, but some basic variable, say  $f_{(k,\ell)}$ , is negative. So as in the dual simplex method, we want to remove  $(k, \ell)$  from the basis (and thus from the spanning tree).
  - (a) (3 points) What happens to the spanning tree when  $(k, \ell)$  is removed?
  - (b) (7 points) In the dual simplex method, we want to choose some variable  $f_{(g,h)}$  to enter the basis such that the entry  $\bar{A}_{p,(g,h)}$  is negative. In this case, which arcs have this entry negative, and what is this entry for such arcs?
  - (c) (5 points) Which arc is chosen by the minimum ratio test to enter the basis?
- 2. In this problem, we consider the maximum multicommodity flow problem, a variation on the maximum flow problem. In this problem we are given a directed graph G with nodes V and directed arcs A, and k source-sink pairs  $(s_i, t_i)$ , where  $s_i, t_i \in V$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ . We may send flow only from a source  $s_i$  to the corresponding sink  $t_i$ . The goal is to send as much flow as possible from the sources  $s_i$  to their corresponding sinks  $t_i$ . Each arc  $a \in A$  has a capacity  $u_a$ ; we may not send more than  $u_a$  total units of flow through arc a.

We can write the problem as a linear program. Let  $\mathcal{P}_i$  be the set of paths in G from  $s_i$  to  $t_i$ . Our LP will have a variable  $x_P$  for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}_i$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ . Then the maximum multicommodity flow problem can be modelled as the following linear program:

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Max} \ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{i}} x_{P} \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{i}: a \in P} x_{P} \le u_{a} \quad \forall a \in A \\ & x_{P} \ge 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, k, \forall P \in \mathcal{P}_{i} \end{aligned}$$

We will consider another problem given by a mathematical program on the graph G, where there are variables  $z_a \ge 0$  for all arcs  $a \in A$ . Then we define

$$dist_{z}(v, w) = \min_{P:P \text{ a path from } v \text{ to } w \text{ in } G} \sum_{a \in P} z_{a}.$$

The program defining the problem is as follows:

- (a) (3 points) A multicut is a partitioning of the nodes V into two or more parts such that there is no path from  $s_i$  to  $t_i$  contained in a single part. The capacity of a multicut is the sum over all arcs a whose endpoints are in different parts of the capacities  $u_a$ . Show that any multicut gives a feasible solution to the minimization problem above with value equal to the capacity of the multicut.
- (b) (5 points) Use LP duality to argue that the values of the minimization problem above and the maximum multicommodity flow problem are the same.
- (c) (5 points) Give an example for k > 1 that shows that the value of the maximum multicommodity flow is not equal to the value of the minimum multicut (Hint: there is one such example on a graph of 3 nodes). Note that when k = 1 we have the standard maximum flow problem, and in this case we know that the maximum flow and minimum cut values are equal.
- (d) (10 points) We wish to solve the maximum multicommodity flow linear program via the simplex method. To put this into our standard form, we negate the objective function and we add a slack variable  $s_a \ge 0$  to each inequality to make it an equality. We can start with an initial basic feasible solution in which all the slack variables are in the basis (and hence all  $x_P$  are nonbasic and set to zero).

There can be an exponential number of  $s_i$ - $t_i$  paths in the number of vertices, so we do not wish to explicitly maintain all the variables  $x_P$ . Explain how you can run the simplex method without ever keep track of more than O(|A|) path variables  $x_P$  at a time. You may assume that you have a subroutine that finds a path achieving the minimum distance  $dist_z(v, w)$  for any  $v, w \in V$  and any  $z \ge 0$ .