

## Problem Set 6

*Due Date: October 10, 2014*

1. (7 points) When I was a researcher at IBM, I once needed to solve several linear programs that had a very large number of constraints relative to the number of variables<sup>1</sup>. I was trying to solve  $\min c^T x : Ax \leq b, x \geq 0$ , for  $A \in \Re^{m \times n}$ , where  $m$  was exponential in  $n$ . To get this into standard form, add slack variables  $s$  for each constraint, so that the problem becomes  $\min c^T x : Ax + s = b, x \geq 0, s \geq 0$ . The running time for solving each LP was several hours. I wandered down the hall and asked John Forrest, the author of IBM's linear programming code (OSL), if there was anything I could do to speed up the solution time. He suggested a simple reformulation of my problem that dropped the running time to under 20 minutes. Try to explain a change such that the simplex method as we have described it (running on the primal in standard form) might run much more quickly in such a situation. Be sure to justify your answer; that is, you should explain why the reformulation would cause the simplex method to run more quickly. (Hint: think about the complexity of a pivot operation).
2. (Bertsimas and Tsitsiklis 3.6) Let  $x$  be a basic feasible solution with associated basis  $B$ . Prove the following:
  - (a) (4 points) If the reduced cost of every nonbasic variable is positive, then  $x$  is the unique optimal solution.
  - (b) (4 points) If  $x$  is the unique optimal solution and is nondegenerate, then the reduced cost of every nonbasic variable is positive.
3. Consider the linear program  $\min(c^T x : x \geq 0, Ax = b)$ . Let  $B$  denote an optimal basis. Assume that the problem is generic in that each vertex has a unique basis for which it is the corresponding basic solution.

Assume now that you want to solve a *parametric* problem, i.e., a set of problems of the form  $\min((c + \lambda d)^T x : x \geq 0, Ax = b)$ , for each possible value of  $\lambda \geq 0$ . The basis  $B$  is a solution for the problem when  $\lambda = 0$ .

  - (a) (7 points) Prove that the set of values of  $\lambda$  for which basis  $B$  is optimal forms an interval  $[0, a_1]$ . Explain how to compute  $a_1$ .
  - (b) (10 points) Show that there is a finite set  $a_0 = 0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_k$  and corresponding bases  $B_i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, k$  such that  $B_0 = B$  and  $B_i$  (for  $i = 0, \dots, k$ ) is the optimal basis if and only if  $\lambda \in [a_i, a_{i+1}]$ , and  $B_k$  is optimal if  $\lambda \geq a_k$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>For those of you who are interested, these LPs were the basis of the paper by Trevisan, Sorkin, Sudan, and Williamson, "Gadgets, Approximation, and Linear Programming," *SIAM Journal on Computing* 29:2074-2097, 2000.